

Warning: Some emails in this document contain material that may not be appropriate for all audiences.

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Sent: December-01-13 12:56 AM

To: FSD-EIA

Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;

MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca

Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

a proper assessment that studies the impact on the community and not just the port needs to be done A true public hearing that allows all interested parties to have a say must be conducted tUntil then do grant a permit for Fraser Dock's application

Sincerely,

SURREY

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: First Nations

Sent: December-01-13 6:31 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: First Nations

Subject First Nations

Message The reason that the largest genocide in history, the stealing of the land, culture, language, history and lives of the First Nations people is because is it still ongoing. This is not for my Native friends, its for everyone else I know. The coal dumps in the NW are just another example. Millions of gallons of water out of the Fraser River to spray on coal destined for China, while about on third of the mercury in our waterways originates already from Chinese coal fired power plants. What would you call that?

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 1, 2013 6:31 am

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10.9; rv:25.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/25.0

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: No Thermal Coal Exports for Port Metro Vancouver

Sent: December-01-13 11:04 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlt.h.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: No Thermal Coal Exports for Port Metro Vancouver

Name

Email

Subject

No Thermal Coal Exports for Port Metro Vancouver

Message

I support NO thermal coal exports through Port Metro Vancouver. Today, I read that the CEO of PMV feels there has been effective environmental evaluation of this issue to date. I disagree along with thousands of other BCers fighting for healthy citizens and communities. An EFFECTIVE health and environmental impact assessment WILL: 1. Involve key stakeholders like Health Authorities from the start, including determining the scope and terms of reference; 2. Include an assessment of ALL risks of the proposed coal export expansion at Neptune Terminals; 3. Consider ALL local impacts from the time the coal enters our region by rail to the time it enters international waters by ocean going vessel; 4. Consider climate change impacts of the end use of that coal. We have a responsibility to the people of the Philippines, Africa, New York and everywhere else affected by increased damages through changes in climate patterns; 5. Be open and transparent and incorporate public hearings. That has not been the case to date. I was present at the Anti-Coal Rally in New Westminster in October. I heard a high school student express his concerns. He has begun coal-free zone petitions in high schools. I heard a resident of Powell River share how she and her community will be affected by coal dust from Texada Island a short ocean distance away. She was able to fill a petition with thousands of signatures from fellow Powell River citizens in less than a week because of their concerns. I heard a doctors talk about what happens when coal dust enters our body to create DIS - EASE. To go ahead with this projects over the objections of these protesters and those I have not mentioned is nothing less than a crime. BC citizens deserve government regulations that ensure we and our communities are protected now and in the future.

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 1, 2013 11:03 am

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 5.1; rv:25.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/25.0

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Sent: December-01-13 11:22 AM

To: FSD-EIA

Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;

MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca

Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

According to our medical officers, the EIA does not meet “even the most basic requirements of a Health Impact Assessment.”

The EIA does not assess impacts of coal transport on White Rock, Surrey, Delta, Texada Island and the waters and islands along the coastal shipping route.

Unlike the assessment for a proposed coal port just across the border near Bellingham Washington, Port Metro’s EIA does not assess the greenhouse gas impacts of the end-use burning of coal.

If the port authority won’t start over and provide a proper assessment, it should deny the Fraser Surrey Docks permit and stop expanding coal exports.

Sincerely,

High Point

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Export

Sent: December-01-13 12:16 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Coal Export

Name

Email

Subject Coal Export

Message listen to the stakeholders. stop it before you can't

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 1, 2013 12:15 pm

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 8.0; Windows NT 6.1; WOW64; Trident/4.0; SLCC2; .NET CLR 2.0.50727; .NET CLR 3.5.30729; .NET CLR 3.0.30729; Media Center PC 6.0; HPNTDF)

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: proposed coal port neat Delta, BC

Sent: December-01-13 12:38 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: proposed coal port neat Delta, BC

Name

Email

Subject

proposed coal port neat Delta, BC

Message

All trains going to this proposed coal port near Delta, BC will travel within 2 miles of my home in Bellingham, WA. My son lives about 1/3 mile from the train tracks. We are both reactive to coal dust as well diesel particulate matter. We want the health risks associated with these coal trains assessed properly. I am also very concerned about the impact these coal trains will have on the flora and fauna and the Puget Sound water environment. I want these impacts properly assessed. Further, I am concerned about the impact on traffic and the need and expense to upgrade railroad crossings. We the citizens that live near these tracks will pay 95% of those extremely expensive upgrades. And.. our emergency vehicles will be impacted by the traffic problems where the trains traverse. Finally our home values and our businesses will suffer from these coal trains. I want all the above issues to be properly assessed. I intend to contact my US congress people about these issues and request that they support legislation to deny these coal trains, that are bound for Canada, the right to traverse our region.

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 1, 2013 12:37 pm

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10_7_4) AppleWebKit/536.25 (KHTML, like Gecko) Version/6.0 Safari/536.25

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: EIA on coal transport and export

Sent: December-01-13 1:27 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; h1th.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: EIA on coal transport and export

Name
Email
Subject

EIA on coal transport and export

Message

The recent environmental impact assessment on the proposed coal exports from Fraser-Surrey Docks. The assessment is inadequate and does not consider the full scope of impacts on the Lower Mainland and other communities, including those near Texada Island. Public Health officials were not consulted to determine the appropriate parameters to study. The terms of reference were flawed from the start. I urge that the Port Authority reject the EIA and request a new one. All stakeholders including public health officials must be involved, the impacts on the full route of coal must be included. This means from the rail transport to the port, and from the barge transport to the transfer at Texada Island and loading of vessels bound for international waters. Finally, the climate change impacts of coal exports must also be considered. These may have significant effects on British Columbia and Canada, including arctic permafrost melt, ocean acidification, drought and floods. Alberta is recovering from a devastating flood that caused billions of dollars of damage last summer. Storms such as that are more likely as a result of climate change. The public should have the right to make comments on this EIA, and the port should invite the public to comment to the port authority in person. Please let me know what your intent is to rectify this inadequate process. Sincerely, Vancouver, BC

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 1, 2013 1:27 pm

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.2; WOW64; rv:25.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/25.0

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Export Terminal Proposal

Sent: December-01-13 6:40 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; [FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca](mailto:premier@gov.bc.ca); ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Coal Export Terminal Proposal

Name
Email
Subject Coal Export Terminal Proposal
Message From my community of Vancouver WA, just past Columbia River Gorge, knowing of proposed coal export terminals in BC, OR, & WA, i ask: how do coal export proponents plan to get their toxic, atmosphere-polluting coal to those terminals? Through my community? THINK AGAIN!
Site <http://realporthearings.org>
Date/Time: December 1, 2013 6:40 pm
Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126
Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (Linux; GoogleTV 3.2; VAP430 Build/MASTER) AppleWebKit/534.24 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/11.0.696.77 Safari/534.24

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Sent: December-01-13 7:14 PM

To: FSD-EIA

Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;
MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca

Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

What is wrong with the Port Metro Vancouver anyway?!! When did the importance of U.S. coal exports to Asia trump the health and welfare of Lower Mainland families? This cannot be a unilateral decision by the Authority, denying environmental impact assessments, Health impact assessments, and just basic common sense!! When did Washington State and Oregon, get smarter than our Port Metro authority? And who are these unelected guys anyway putting our environment and population at risk?!! Stop the insanity!

Sincerely,
Victoria

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Sent: December-01-13 10:20 PM

To: FSD-EIA

Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;

MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca

Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

The EIA does not assess impacts of coal transport on a number of communities, including White Rock, Surrey, Delta and Texada Island, or waters and islands along the coastal shipping route. Before any permits are issued, a proper assessment should be required.

Sincerely,

Salt Spring Island

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Sent: December-01-13 10:21 PM

To: FSD-EIA

Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;

MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca

Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

The EIA does not assess impacts of coal transport on a number of communities, including White Rock, Surrey, Delta and Texada Island, or waters and islands along the coastal shipping route. Before any permits are issued, a proper assessment should be required.

Sincerely,

Salt Spring Island

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Port Expansion

From:
Sent: December-02-13 3:10 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporhearings.org
Subject: Coal Port Expansion

Subject Coal Port Expansion

Message I believe that the coal port expansion would result in too much damage to both our local and global ecosystems to be considered.

Site <http://realporhearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Fraser Surrey Docs

From:
Sent: December-02-13 3:25 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Fraser Surrey Docs

Subject Fraser Surrey Docs

Message

The Port Authority's assessment of the risks of coal export expansion is flawed and should be redone. I request that the Port put a moratorium on coal export expansion and work with key stakeholders to conduct proper health and environmental impact assessments. They must involve key stakeholders like our Health Authorities including determining the scope and terms of reference; They must include an assessment of the risks of the proposed coal export expansion at Neptune Terminals; they must consider all local impacts from the time the coal enters our region by rail to the time it enters international waters by ocean going vessel; they must consider climate change impacts of the end use of that coal; they must be open and transparent and incorporate public hearings. If the port cannot or will not properly assess the potential impacts of coal exports, it should deny the Fraser Surrey Docks permit and suspend any further expansion of coal exports.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Proposed coal export from Surrey

From:
Sent: December-02-13 4:05 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Proposed coal export from Surrey

Subject Proposed coal export from Surrey

Message The only reason it is becoming an issue here is Seattle wouldn't touch. Ontario is getting out of using coal for energy production. One World=One Environment. Sending this stuff to China is short sighted on so many levels.. please rethink this project.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Port Metro Vancouver FSD EIA is unacceptable

From:
Sent: December-02-13 4:06 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Port Metro Vancouver FSD EIA is unacceptable

Subject Port Metro Vancouver FSD EIA is unacceptable
Message We call on the Port to put a moratorium on coal export expansion and commit to working with key stakeholders to conduct proper health and environmental impact assessments.
Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From: Sent: December-02-13 5:14 PM

To: FSD-EIA

Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

Coal dust is not inactive, it threatens health. A health impact study needs to be done.

Listen to the science, listen to the peoples voices. Coal is not wanted in Washington's ports because it's not a good choice for the future. People don't want it. Fraser Surrey docks shouldn't attract it.

Please don't make commitments that may need to be un-done in the future.

#COP19 has shown us that not all are in agreement with the way that choices are made in Canada these days. Please consider the future realistically in terms of the adjustments to Canadian policy that may come : so that Canadian taxpayers don't get saddled with another large cost when the inevitable occurs.

The North American coal export industry has a large contribution in the Global Carbon Limit to achieve 2 deg. warming of the earth. In light of this, do you still believe that coal export is an intelligent choice for the Financial Future of the Fraser Surrey docks? What will it cost us to shut it down if you start it? To break the commitments?

Please find a better future for the Fraser Surrey docks. I know you can find one if you look hard enough.

Thanks and Best Regards,

Victoria, BC

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at wildernesscommittee.org

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Risk assessment of coal export expansion

From:
Sent: December-02-13 5:48 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Risk assessment of coal export expansion

Subject Risk assessment of coal export expansion

Message It is imperative for us and generations to come that a proper risk assessment be done before any coal export expansion is even thought of. There are huge impacts for citizens of both our community and the world. Please, for once, think of the future, not immediate money gains and ridiculous growth!

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: The Coal Export Environmental Impact Assessment

From:
Sent: December-02-13 6:14 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: The Coal Export Environmental Impact Assessment

Subject The Coal Export Environmental Impact Assessment

Message

The Port Authority's assessment of the risks of coal export expansion is fundamentally flawed and should be scrapped. It should start over. I call on the Port to put a moratorium on coal export expansion and commit to working with key stakeholders to conduct proper health and environmental impact assessments. In my view, these new assessments must include the following features: * they must involve key stakeholders like our Health Authorities from the start, including determining the scope and terms of reference; * they must include an assessment of the risks of the proposed coal export expansion at Neptune Terminals; * they must consider all local impacts from the time the coal enters our region by rail to the time it enters international waters by ocean going vessel; * they must consider climate change impacts of the end use of that coal; * they must be open and transparent and incorporate public hearings. If the port cannot or will not properly assess the potential impacts of coal exports, it should deny the Fraser Surrey Docks permit and suspend any further expansion of coal exports.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Terminals

From:
Sent: December-02-13 6:17 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Coal Terminals

Subject Coal Terminals

Message Thermal coal (or dirty/soft coal) is an obvious health and environmental hazard. Black lung is serious and we don't want coal dust getting blown into the air when being transferred from train to ship in our ports. The people of Portland, Seattle and Bellingham have been protesting coal at their ports. If the US can't deal with its own coal, let them remain their problem.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Beyond Coal

From:
Sent: December-02-13 6:22 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Beyond Coal

Subject Beyond Coal

Message

A thorough health and environmental impact, that includes consultations with all potentially affected communities along the shipping route should be undergone before any port expansions and marine shipments are approved. There is clearly enough concern and evidence of potential health and environmental impacts that a more thorough investigation is merited. However, I believe even more strongly that it is absolutely necessary to implement a phase-out plan for all thermal coal mining and shipping expansions as well as current operations. The science around catastrophic climate change is clear and unequivocal. We must keep the remaining coal in the ground if we have the hopes of suffering less than a 2 degree increase in global temperature (Copenhagen Accord, 2009). Please do not permit the expansion of the coal export facility at Port Metro Vancouver, and implement an immediate moratorium on all coal export and mine expansion within British Columbia.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Export EIA

From:
Sent: December-02-13 6:30 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Coal Export EIA

Subject Coal Export EIA

Message A new EIA is necessary. It should include: they must involve key stakeholders like our Health Authorities from the start, including determining the scope and terms of reference; they must include an assessment of the risks of the proposed coal export expansion at Neptune Terminals; they must consider all local impacts from the time the coal enters our region by rail to the time it enters international waters by ocean going vessel; they must consider climate change impacts of the end use of that coal; they must be open and transparent and incorporate public hearings. If the port cannot or will not properly assess the potential impacts of coal exports, it should deny the Fraser Surrey Docks permit and suspend any further expansion of coal exports.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Coal Export EIA

From:
Sent: December-02-13 6:36 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Comments on the Coal Export EIA

Subject Comments on the Coal Export EIA

Message

We think that the Port Authority's assessment of the risks of coal export expansion is fundamentally flawed and should be scrapped. They need to start over. We call on the Port to put a moratorium on coal export expansion and commit to working with key stakeholders to conduct proper health and environmental impact assessments. In our view, these new assessments must include the following features: they must involve key stakeholders like our Health Authorities from the start, including determining the scope and terms of reference; they must include an assessment of the risks of the proposed coal export expansion at Neptune Terminals; they must consider all local impacts from the time the coal enters our region by rail to the time it enters international waters by ocean going vessel; they must consider climate change impacts of the end use of that coal; they must be open and transparent and incorporate public hearings. If the port cannot or will not properly assess the potential impacts of coal exports, it should deny the Fraser Surrey Docks permit and suspend any further expansion of coal exports.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: December-02-13 6:46 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

I deserve a full independent hearing on the proposed Fraser Surrey Docks coal facility, as has been afforded to citizens of Washington State by regulators assessing coal terminals this year.

I deserve a comprehensive health impact assessment of the proposed Fraser Surrey Docks coal facility, as publicly recommended by Health Authority officials.

I am concerned about the climate impacts currently being experienced in Canada and throughout the world, which are exacerbated by the export and consumption of fossil fuels.

I urge you to deny Fraser Surrey Docks a permit for its proposed coal facility, and to start taking responsibility for the climate damage that will result from the growing export of fossil fuels from Metro Vancouver ports.

Gabriola, British Columbia

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at wildernesscommittee.org

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: No export of US (or any other) coal from Vancouver Port

From:
Sent: December-02-13 8:16 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: No export of US (or any other) coal from Vancouver Port

Subject No export of US (or any other) coal from Vancouver Port

Message This is a very obviously bad idea for many many reasons--health risks, increased tanker traffic, etc etc. Proper studies have NOT been done, but really, it's a NO BRAINER!!! This must NOT go forward, period!

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Export EIA

From:
Sent: December-02-13 8:19 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Coal Export EIA

Subject Coal Export EIA

Message Please stop the coal export expansion at Neptune Terminals until a proper, all-inclusive, full-context, climate-change-impact-focussed, transparent EIA including public hearings has been completed.

Site Richmond BC

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: No to FSD proposal

From:
Sent: December-02-13 8:26 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: No to FSD proposal

Subject No to FSD proposal

Message I wish to express my full disapproval of the FSD coal terminal proposal. This really comes down to two sides; money or health. Money from helping deliver American coal mined by American workers shipped on American railroads to customers of American coal brokers. Compared to the health of thousands of people that happen to live along the route from the Powder River basin, all the way to Asia. Not to mention the health of numerous sensitive eco systems. While the province of Ontario is introducing the Ending Coal for Cleaner Air Act, some people think it is Asia's problem to find a solution to their energy needs and pollution problems. So dirty air is ok for China but not for Ontario? Here's some news China's air is Ontario's air.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal export expansion

From:
Sent: December-02-13 8:37 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Coal export expansion

Subject Coal export expansion

Message

I live in the Panarama Ridge area of Surrey. I am very concerned about the health impacts on my community that will come about from this coal expansion project. Air pollution from coal dust and diesel particulate matter, noise pollution, increased train traffic which blocks roads and could pose to be a real issue in times of emergency , coal dust coating our agricultural lands that currently produce blueberries, cranberries etc , and coal dust which will spew out at FSD polluting the Fraser River affecting the fish . I am also very concerned about the Crescent Beach area. In an urban setting there are less and less places where families can congregate and recreate. Having potentially 24 trains a day passing through a beach area I think all can agree is less than optimal and not only that but dangerous. Has no one given any thought as to how all of the above issues could affect tourism? These are only a few of the more obviously visible issues and to not consider doing proper unbiased Health Environmental Impact Analyses is not only incompetent but also unethical. Port Metro Vancouver so far has proven itself to be disconnected from the community and is not fulfilling their mandate to perform proper health and environmental impact assessments. Premier Clark I think is it time that the government show some leadership and support the communities/municipalities that have expressed NO SUPPORT for this project. PMV should be MADE TO JUSTIFY their rationale and explain how a project that is so obviously flawed is even being given consideration.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: FSD EIA by Port Metro Van

From:
Sent: December-02-13 8:40 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: FSD EIA by Port Metro Van

Name Laurence Gill
Email ljgill47@gmail.com
Subject FSD EIA by Port Metro Van

Message
This EIA is whoa fully inadequate. There are so many things not taken into account that it is a disgrace to the people who put their name to it, I live in Crescent Beach and see first hand what coal trains do and don't do. The vibration from the weight of the trains shakes our house. I had to repair my foundation which developed a crack because of the earth tremors I'm sure. It served its purpose for 30 years before the trains got heavier and longer. What they don't do is make the air easier to breathe. The dust coming off the coal and the diesel fumes from the engines make a substantial risk to our health but was any of this measured or assessed? The time delay on getting into or out of CB can be as long as 10 mins depending on the direction of train travel. How many heart attacks or drownings will be fatal because of this. Every summer there are thousands of Metro Van beach goers here and the train puts them at risk. Has this risk been a sessed? I think this whole assessment should be redone before any decision is made and ALL stakeholders and professionals should be consulted not just the ones who will benefit. I implore you to do this before this becomes a major battle. yours sincerely

Site Surrey BC
<http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Conduct EIA for Coal Terminiinal

From:
Sent: December-02-13 9:07 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Conduct EIA for Coal Terminiinal

Subject Conduct EIA for Coal Terminiinal

Message

I live in the Salish Sea. The proposed project to barge coal to Texada Isld. for shipping has the potential to directly affect my local environment, and perhaps even our health and safety. To deny there is no POTENTIAL impact on our lives and environment here in the Gulf from this project is a deception of the highest order. The Port Authority's recently released assessment of the risks of coal export expansion is fundamentally flawed and should be scrapped. They need to start over. I call on the Port to put a moratorium on coal export expansion and commit to working with local citizens and other key stakeholders to conduct proper health and environmental impact assessments that include: - an assessment of the risks of the proposed coal export expansion at Neptune Terminals; - consideration of all local impacts from the time the coal enters our region by rail to the time it enters international waters by ocean going vessel; - consideration of the climate change impacts of the end use of that coal; - an open and transparent process that incorporates public hearings. If the port cannot or will not properly assess the potential impacts of coal exports, it should deny the Fraser Surrey Docks permit and suspend any further expansion of coal exports.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: sanity

From:
Sent: December-02-13 9:38 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: sanity

Subject sanity

Message Let's bring some sanity to this. Let's put our health and the environment first. Do the right thing.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: coal

From:
Sent: December-02-13 10:50 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: coal

Subject coal

Message Thermal coal should stay in ground. Not be dug up, shipped through my community to be burned and released into the atmosphere. I do not support Canada being implicated in the expansion of coal extraction and burning.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: US Thermal Coal and port expansion

From:
Sent: December-02-13 11:13 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: US Thermal Coal and port expansion

Subject US Thermal Coal and port expansion

Having learned of your recent assessment of the Fraser Surrey Docks permit, I have some very serious concerns. This is a very serious matter that can and will affect the long term health and well being of the people and all wildlife that live near the river and the surrounding air, land and ocean. Please think about the long term effects of your decisions; not just about some possible short term financial gain. I think that the Port Authority's assessment of the risks of coal export expansion is fundamentally flawed and should not be used. In my opinion, you need to begin again. I think there should be a moratorium on coal export expansion and especially, we should not allow US Thermal coal anywhere near our ports or our waters. I believe you should commit to working with key stakeholders to conduct proper health and environmental impact assessments. In my opinion, these new assessments should most definitely include the following: . involve key stakeholders like our Health Authorities, including determining the scope and terms of reference; . include an assessment of the risks of the proposed coal export expansion at Neptune Terminals; . consider all local impacts from the time the coal enters our region by rail to the time it enters international waters by ocean going vessel; . consider climate change impacts of the end use of that coal; . be open and transparent and incorporate public hearings. If the port cannot or will not properly assess the potential impacts of coal exports, you should deny the Fraser Surrey Docks permit and suspend any further expansion of coal exports.

Message

Respectfully,

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

From:
Sent: December-02-13 4:11 AM
To: FSD-EIA
Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;
MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca
Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Don't send our natural resources to another nation. They have there own and ours are limited and will run out.

Sincerely,
Warner Robins, Georgia

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Marine water dangers

From:
Sent: December-02-13 8:14 AM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Marine water dangers

Subject Marine water dangers

Message

I live in Bellingham, Washington and I have a cabin on the Straits of Juan de Fuca near the Elwha River in Washington State, which is starting to see the re-emergence of salmon due to the removal of the Elwha Dam. All the marine life in the waters the vessels will be traveling through, including the waters of Clallam, Whatcom and British Columbia will be affected. Joseph Gaydos, VMD, Chief Scientist and Wildlife Veterinarian, UC Davis Wildlife, lists the reasons that the Salish Sea is in a "non-resilient state of decay" and cannot survive increased vessel noise or a major oil spill. He asks for an analysis of the effects of new potential stressors on every species of animal that is threatened, endangered, or of concern. I agree with him. In addition there is the problem of ballast water being released and its effect on marine life. Please study these issues. Thank you,

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 2, 2013 8:13 am

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

From:
Sent: December-02-13 9:17 AM
To: FSD-EIA
Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;
MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca
Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Coal dust and diesel exhaust are major concerns for all living things. Here on Texada Island our town water supply is within 3km of the open-air coal storage/loading docks. Also, frequent strong gales make transport by open barge dangerous. The health impact assessment should be totally independent and cover the transport (and handling) of the coal to Texada.

Sincerely,
Gillies Bay BC

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comment on the Coal Port EIA

From:
Sent: December-02-13 10:27 AM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Comment on the Coal Port EIA

Subject Comment on the Coal Port EIA

Message

Environmental Impact Assessments are designed to help us make the most informed decisions possible, bringing to light potential implications on the health and well-being of both humans and the natural environment. Once a project is started, there will not be a chance to go back and start over. I am asking you to put a moratorium on coal export expansion, and commit to working with ALL relevant stakeholders to conduct thorough and proper health and environmental impact assessments. This means involving all key stakeholders in determining the scope and terms of reference for the EIA; risk assessment of the expansion at Neptune Terminals; local and regional impacts of coal transportation (including both via rail and water); the impacts of co2 on climate change from burning of the coal; and open and transparent conduction of all stages of this process. If the Port cannot commit to this basic and essential process, it should DENY the Fraser Surrey Docks permit, and suspend any further expansion of coal exports. To allow them to move forward without a full and proper EIA process is simply unacceptable, and will have negative consequences for both people and then natural environment. Thank you.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 2, 2013 10:27 am

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: December-02-13 11:53 AM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

Dear Sirs,

I believe there is no reason that this application should be approved. There are absolutely no financial benefits for the citizens of British Columbia , and the potential for environmental and health damage is too great to ignore. At the very least this proposal deserves a full health and environmental impact assessment before the application is considered.

I urge you to deny Fraser Surrey Docks a permit for its proposed facility. To grant the permit would be against the wishes of a majority of the citizens and all the municipal councils of the lower mainland.

Sincerely,

Vancouver, BC

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at wildernesscommittee.org

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal shipments

From:
Sent: December-02-13 12:38 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Coal shipments

Subject Coal shipments

Message

Dear Sirs: I attended a forum on the Sunshine Coast recently, and absolutely reject the conclusions of your so-called toxicology expert! There is data available on the serious health and environmental concerns relating to thermal coal dust, and you all know it. Your process is flawed in the extreme - check out the way assessments are done in the US - they welcome public input, they provide time for that input, they take 2 years to reach a conclusion after weighing the evidence. Our Port Authority allowed the company to conduct its own assessment (shame) and they made the obvious conclusion. Well please listen - I and my family do not wish to watch open barges with flying dust float past our house northwards, and we don't want to see single hulled freighter (shame again - why is our shipping law so inferior to those in other countries!) move southward filled with flying coal bits. I worry about the salmon and the rest of the food chain, I worry about childhood asthma, and about the elderly whose lung capacity is diminished. It's not just the Sunshine Coast that is at risk - all of the cities bordering the coalport will have to bump up their contributions to health care - that will be a real, direct cost to the province! And finally, **WHAT ARE THE ADVANTAGES TO CANADA** of this coal entering the country, being unloaded, re-loaded, unloaded, re-loaded again and then leaving the country? A few jobs? **NOT GOOD ENOUGH!!**

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

From:
Sent: December-02-13 1:14 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;
MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca
Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Stop expanding coal exports when we don't know the health impacts. We need an assessment at the very least.
Sincerely,
vernon

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

From:
Sent: December-02-13 1:23 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;
MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca
Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Stop the raping and pillaging , stop the polluting of our province

Sincerely,
Tofino

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Fraser Port expansion

From:
Sent: December-02-13 1:36 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Fraser Port expansion

Subject Fraser Port expansion

Message

I think that the Port Authority's assessment of the risks of coal export expansion is fundamentally flawed and should be scrapped. They need to start over. I call on the Port to put a moratorium on coal export expansion and commit to working with key stakeholders to conduct proper health and environmental impact assessments. In my view, these new assessments must include the following features: they must involve key stakeholders like our Health Authorities from the start, including determining the scope and terms of reference; they must include an assessment of the risks of the proposed coal export expansion at Neptune Terminals; they must consider all local impacts from the time the coal enters our region by rail to the time it enters international waters by ocean going vessel; they must consider climate change impacts of the end use of that coal; they must be open and transparent and incorporate public hearings. If the port cannot or will not properly assess the potential impacts of coal exports, it should deny the Fraser Surrey Docks permit and suspend any further expansion of coal exports.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: coal on the fraser river

From:
Sent: December-02-13 1:43 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: coal on the fraser river

Subject coal on the fraser river

Message Bringing in coal from the states or anywhere and shipping it to china from our ports is a really bad idea. the chinese can't breathe anymore from coal emissions and we don't need the dirt, pollutions and other hazards that will be part of this plan. SO NO!! keep our ports clean. let us be the leaders and work for clean energy, for ourselves and others. else we all go down together.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal exporting through Fraser River and its Delta

From:
Sent: December-02-13 2:09 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Coal exporting through Fraser River and its Delta

Subject Coal exporting through Fraser River and its Delta

Message It is Environmental Scientists who should be doing the assessment re the effect of air pollutants on the human and other animals NOT stakeholders who stand to make financial gains from this activity. The current Federal gov't has almost completely gutted the scientific research community in this country. Send in your protests while there is still time!!

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Climate change

From:
Sent: December-02-13 2:15 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Climate change

Subject Climate change
Message Redo the assesment and stop exporting coal!
Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: shipping US coal through BC

From:
Sent: December-02-13 2:29 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: shipping US coal through BC

Subject shipping US coal through BC

Message I think is is crazy to be shipping coal from the US over railway lines through White Rock and Surrey to the port on the Fraser River without a proper environmental assessment. It seems to me as a resident that money is the only issue. It seems very strange that the Vancouver Port Authority is anxious to ship US coal through BC ports when the citizens along the west coast of the US do not want it. Who's interest are you really looking out after. Not the health of those living near the railway line and near the port facility.
Sincerely

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Export EIA

From:
Sent: December-02-13 2:31 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Coal Export EIA

Subject Coal Export EIA

Please note that the EIA that has been performed for the proposed export of coal through the Surrey Fraser docks, shipping it by barge to Texada Island, is not credible and should be scrapped. This study was not transparent so the terms of what was studied is unknown.

Message The impact of transporting the coal and its carbon footprint at the point and its burning at the end of the journey create a nightmare for the planet. It's time that BC took these threats seriously and conducted open assessments that do not have the conclusions already written! It cannot continue - industry over everything else - we just can't afford that on this world!

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Stop American coal export trough Canadian ports

From:
Sent: December-02-13 2:59 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Stop American coal export trough Canadian ports

Subject Stop American coal export trough Canadian ports

Message If Americans insist to export thermal coal let them do it through Seattle or Portland. We do not want to unnecessarily contribute to global warming and beside that collect excessive coal dust through the rail corridor .

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: stop coal trains

Sent: December-03-13 4:17 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: stop coal trains

Name

Email

Subject stop coal trains

Message I live 150M downwind from the tracks in White Rock. I see coal dust settled on patio furniture and know it is in my lungs. Please save what used to be my good health.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 3, 2013 4:17 am

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.1; WOW64; Trident/7.0; rv:11.0) like Gecko

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Shipping coal

Sent: December-03-13 6:15 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlt.h.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Shipping coal

Name

Email

Subject Shipping coal

Message I strongly urge you to conduct a full environmental review of the proposed coal shipping project including all stages from mining through the end use and it's effects on people and the greater environment.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 3, 2013 6:14 am

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (iPhone; CPU iPhone OS 7_0_4 like Mac OS X) AppleWebKit/537.51.1 (KHTML, like Gecko) Version/7.0 Mobile/11B554a Safari/9537.53

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Thermal Coal to Fraser Surrey Dock

Sent: Thursday, November 28, 2013 10:44 AM
Subject: Thermal Coal to Fraser Surrey Dock

My hope is that you reject the proposal to transport thermal coal from the United States to Texada island and from there to China. At the very least, please postpone its ratification until ALL facets of an in-depth Environmental Impact Assessment has been performed. When our own Health Officials find fault with the process supposedly in place to prevent doing harm to us and our environment that alone should give the Board reason to pause but, further to that, because of the lack of information pertaining to the impact of such an activity in the Fraser River and its Delta you mustn't act in haste. I'm sure the pressure is on you from the "other" side, but this issue has far too great a downside for what appears to be a relatively small increase in economic benefit. This coal is associated with heavy metals which are proven to be harmful to human health, in particular, children and the elderly. It is not possible to "mitigate" this risk away as escapement is inevitable when quantities such as those proposed are involved. These predictable health issues result in a predictable cost to our society. This same escapement will also do irreparable harm to our fisheries which also has a predicable cost. Our tourism branding will be altered and have an adverse economic impact and finally, our quality of life as citizens of this magnificent province and country will be degraded.

Thank you for your attention.
Sincerely

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From: webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org [<mailto:webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org>] On Behalf Of

Sent: December-03-13 8:40 AM

To: FSD-EIA

Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Tim Blair, Senior Planner
Port Metro Vancouver
100 The Pointe, 999 Canada Place
Vancouver, BC V6C 3T4

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

Each and every person living now and in the future deserves clean air and a healthy environment! The negative effects of continuing to develop more infrastructure for the use of fossil fuels are too huge to ignore. Invest money in clean energy! Projects such as this one are not in the public interest; take the time to do comprehensive environmental and health risk assessments and find out for yourselves.

The proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility is NOT what the people want. Listen to the public and look further than 10 years in the future when deciding how to move forward with this issue.

Sincerely,

Victoria, BC - British Columbia

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at wildernesscommittee.org

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal

Sent: December-03-13 9:20 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlt.h.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Coal

Name

Email

Subject Coal

Message An unacceptable and grossly insufficient assessment of the total impact - if the US is blocking this, it is for a very good reason. Our health and the environmental concerns need to be properly considered.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 3, 2013 9:19 am

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (iPad; CPU OS 6_1_3 like Mac OS X) AppleWebKit/536.26 (KHTML, like Gecko) Version/6.0 Mobile/10B329 Safari/8536.25

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: opposition to the surrey fraser docks coal terminal

Sent: December-03-13 9:21 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: opposition to the surrey fraser docks coal terminal

Name

Email

Subject opposition to the surrey fraser docks coal terminal

Message

Hello, I am writing to you to as deeply concerned resident of Delta. The proposed coal expansion of Surrey Fraser Docks will have a profound impact on the health and well-being of residents and the community of North Delta. The trains, which are open cars, will pass through the vicinity of North Delta and less than 5 km from all the schools in North Delta. This is an alarming thought, given the documented health impacts of coal dust on the developing lungs of children and the elderly. As well, with coal trains running through the heart of the community, the desirability of living in North Delta will drop dramatically with great impacts on the property values of the area. I demand that the Province and Government of Canada take a strong and unilateral stance demanding that there is an open and transparent health and environmental impact review of this proposal - a review that includes multiple consultations with the public as well as engagement with public health officials.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 3, 2013 9:20 am

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 8.0; Windows NT 6.0; Trident/4.0; SLCC1; .NET CLR 2.0.50727; InfoPath.2; .NET CLR 3.5.30729; .NET CLR 3.0.30729; MS-RTC LM 8)

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Sent: December-03-13 9:32 AM

To: FSD-EIA

Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;

MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlt.h.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca

Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Vancouver is a progressive city moving towards a sustainable future. Quite simply coal transport does not factor into this vision of the future. Coal should at the very least be consumed within North America where standards of use can be monitored.

Sincerely,

Vancouver

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Export

Sent: December-03-13 10:00 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Coal Export

Name

Email

Subject Coal Export

Message I find it unbelievable that the Vancouver Port Authority dares to make a decision that affects all of us on the Coast. There have been no adequate or open public hearings, nor any consultation with the Health Authorities, First Nations peoples and all of us who will be affected by coal dust on the Coast. "If the port cannot or will not properly assess the potential impacts of coal exports, it should deny the Fraser Surrey Docks permit and suspend any further expansion of coal exports." I am hoping that our governments have an interest in our health and future medical costs.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 3, 2013 9:59 am

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10_5_8) AppleWebKit/534.50.2 (KHTML, like Gecko) Version/5.0.6 Safari/533.22.3

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal export

Sent: December-03-13 10:10 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Coal export

Name

Email

Subject Coal export

Message

Hello, I am a resident of North Delta and a concerned mother and Registered Nurse. It is appalling that running open boxed coal through any part of the Lower Mainland is even being considered, especially when Washington and Oregon has denied it, so we are being turned to as last choice for them. I am strongly opposed to the coal being ported through Fraser Surrey Docks. Please consider the local impact environmentally and for the health of our children before you allow the permits to go through.

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 3, 2013 10:10 am

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (iPhone; CPU iPhone OS 7_0_3 like Mac OS X) AppleWebKit/537.51.1 (KHTML, like Gecko) Version/7.0 Mobile/11B511 Safari/9537.53

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: I would like to see a full review before any more coal is shipped or expanded

Sent: December-03-13 10:26 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: I would like to see a full review before any more coal is shipped or expanded

Name

Email

Subject I would like to see a full review before any more coal is shipped or expanded

Message

Hello, I would like to see a full review before any more coal is shipped or expanded. The previous process did not include health authorities which it should. The previous process was just a rubber stamp and had not real in depth review. Metro Vancouver deserves more.

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 3, 2013 10:26 am

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 5.1) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/31.0.1650.57 Safari/537.36

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Export EIA

Sent: December-03-13 10:35 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Coal Export EIA

Name

Email

Subject Coal Export EIA

Message

Please withdraw an assessment that is primarily a public relations effort. Start again with the aim of providing a genuinely objective scientific evaluation and democratically widen the base at the very start to include input from our Health Authorities.

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 3, 2013 10:34 am

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (compatible; MSIE 9.0; Windows NT 6.0; WOW64; Trident/5.0)

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: no thermal coal exports

Sent: December-03-13 10:40 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: no thermal coal exports

Name

Email

Subject no thermal coal exports

Message

Please see the good common sense of looking carefully at health and environmental impacts of exporting thermal coal not just in the immediate area of a coal transfer station but all along the route the coal must travel. Please take into consideration the end result of burning this coal. If it is OK to transport dirty coal that pollutes when burned and endangers the health of people why is it not OK traffic drugs that are harmful to health?

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 3, 2013 10:40 am

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.1; WOW64; rv:25.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/25.0

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Fraser Surrey Docks coal expansion

Sent: December-03-13 11:27 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Fraser Surrey Docks coal expansion

Name
Email
Subject Fraser Surrey Docks coal expansion
Message Our children's and community health must be the first thing considered and assured before any industrial expansion in Delta. We should only employ non-invested, impartial health experts to determine the risks to our children and communities.
Site <http://realporthearings.org>
Date/Time: December 3, 2013 11:26 am
Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126
Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (compatible; MSIE 9.0; Windows NT 6.1; Trident/5.0)

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: No Coal!

Sent: December-03-13 11:49 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: No Coal!

Name

Email

Subject No Coal!

Message

How is the unelected Port Authority able to ram this through, when the only impact for local people will be a deterioration in environmental and personal health?? The jobs, money and energy output are all going elsewhere to further accelerate global warming. Just say, "No!"

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 3, 2013 11:48 am

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.1; WOW64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/31.0.1650.57 Safari/537.36

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Coal Transfer System - White Rock-Surrey-Texada Island

Sent: December-03-13 1:04 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Coal Transfer System - White Rock-Surrey-Texada Island

Name

Email

Subject

Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Coal Transfer System - White Rock-Surrey-Texada Island

Message

The Fraser Surrey Docks coal proposal should be a concern for all residents in the Metro Vancouver and the Environmental Assessment should start at the US Border to Surrey & thence to Texada Island.. 1. FSD is located in the geographic centre of Metro Vancouver & coal dust can be carried by prevailing winds elsewhere – all residents of Metro Vancouver should be concerned. Any map of Metro Vancouver graphically illustrates this point. 2. All of Metro Vancouver is impacted between the Canada/US border and Texada Island – the coal trains enter the country at White Rock, travel to FSD, then gets barged to Texada Island – coal dust will be carried by the wind the entire route. 3. Metro Vancouver is a thriving & developing urban region. It does not make sense to import coal into the geographic centre of an urban region, then ship it past & around the urban region through some already busy coastal traffic to export from another island when there is already the largest coal export facility in Westshore Terminal/Roberts Bank. 4. FSD Discussion Guide & Feedback form, pg 1 Background, cites that “FSD’s existing business has decreased significantly since 2009.....” being one of the reasons why the new coal facility is being proposed. Could it be that the market is telling FSD that the FSD is not in a viable location any longer and should be relocated and the land redeveloped for something more suitable for the centre of an urban region (such as Vancouver’s railyards in False Creek & Coal Harbour were some years ago?) I urge that a comprehensive Environmental Assessment be done for the entire region along the entire route from the Canada/US Border to Texada Island.

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 3, 2013 1:03 pm

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.1; WOW64; Trident/7.0; rv:11.0) like Gecko

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: EIA

Sent: December-03-13 1:10 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlt.h.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: EIA

Name

Email

Subject EIA

Message The coal port EIA is a procrustean bed and is opaque in its terms of reference. It makes a mockery of genuine assessment.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 3, 2013 1:10 pm

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 7.0; Windows NT 6.1; WOW64; Trident/6.0; SLCC2; .NET CLR 2.0.50727; .NET CLR 3.5.30729; .NET CLR 3.0.30729; Media Center PC 6.0; InfoPath.3; .NET4.0C; MS-RTC LM 8)

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Enviroment

Sent: December-03-13 1:48 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Enviroment

Name

Email

Subject Enviroment

Message

Please before it's too late ,stop the madness regarding the continuous use of coal . I was born here in Richmond in the 30's and witnessed it's results. Shipping it overseas to burn is madness. I lived in Tsawwassen, and until the coal port was built, my white Colonial home never needed repainting. When the port opened, I had to wash that house every year, until I moved to the Valley. Think of what we are leaving for our children. An air no one could breath. They wear face masks in China . Please, Please rethink what the coal is doing before the point of no return ...Thank you .

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 3, 2013 1:47 pm

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (compatible; MSIE 10.0; Windows NT 6.1; WOW64; Trident/6.0; BOIE9;ENCA)

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: dirty coal in the "greenest city"

Sent: December-03-13 2:00 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: dirty coal in the "greenest city"

Name

Email

Subject

dirty coal in the "greenest city"

Message

Going ahead with thermal coal expansion is among the most toxic ideas to come down the river. If this transport occasioned such resistance in Washington State, why are we permitting it here? This is something to stop now, and be proud that we recognized the dangers before it was too late. If coal port expansion is allowed to proceed without comprehensive environmental and health assessment, we will have future generations of poisoned children in a toxic environment who will never believe our excuses and specious reasons why we thought it would be a good idea. Please stop it now.

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 3, 2013 2:00 pm

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (compatible; MSIE 10.0; Windows NT 6.1; WOW64; Trident/6.0; MDDSJS)

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: US Thermal Coal and port expansion

Sent: December-03-13 2:10 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: US Thermal Coal and port expansion

Name

Email

Subject US Thermal Coal and port expansion

Message We strongly oppose the expansion of coal-export terminals. The risks far outweigh the benefits! At the very least we need an independent health and environment assessment.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 3, 2013 2:10 pm

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (iPad; CPU OS 7_0_4 like Mac OS X) AppleWebKit/537.51.1 (KHTML, like Gecko) Version/7.0 Mobile/11B554a Safari/9537.53

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Sent: December-03-13 2:55 PM

To: FSD-EIA

Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;

MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca

Subject: Environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Let's be apart of the solution...

Sincerely,

Toronto

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Sent: December-03-13 2:55 PM

To: FSD-EIA

Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;
MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca

Subject: Environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Let's be apart of the solution...

Sincerely,

Toronto

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal trains passing through Delta to Fraser Surrey Docks

Sent: December-03-13 3:32 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Coal trains passing through Delta to Fraser Surrey Docks

Name
Email
Subject Coal trains passing through Delta to Fraser Surrey Docks

Message I have lived in the Sunshine Hills area of North Delta, less than 1 KM up from Highway 91, for over 40 years. Under no circumstances DO I WANT any coal trains passing through our community. I will fight this proposal in any way possible.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 3, 2013 3:32 pm

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10_8_4) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/30.0.1599.101 Safari/537.36

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal trains passing through Delta to Fraser Surrey Docks

Sent: December-03-13 3:38 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Coal trains passing through Delta to Fraser Surrey Docks

Name
Email
Subject

Coal trains passing through Delta to Fraser Surrey Docks

Message

I have lived in Sunshine Hills (just up from Highway 91) for over 43 years and it has always been a nice area to live in. I do not want any coal trains passing thru my community under any circumstances!! I will fight this this proposal in any manner possible!!

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 3, 2013 3:38 pm

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10_8_4) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/30.0.1599.101 Safari/537.36

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on Coal Export EIA

Sent: December-03-13 4:30 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlt.h.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Comments on Coal Export EIA

Name

Email

Subject Comments on Coal Export EIA

Message it would be criminal to have coal bearing trains travelling through any community. Unfortunately, it appears our community would be the community to suffer all the ills of a 'yes' decision. Please do not let this happen. American trains carrying coal cannot endanger our health, way of life and our economic vitality! Thank you!

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 3, 2013 4:29 pm

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.0; rv:25.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/25.0

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: A Coal Port? Is everyone okay with it?

Sent: December-03-13 4:55 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: A Coal Port? Is everyone okay with it?

Name

Email

Subject

A Coal Port? Is everyone okay with it?

Message

I would like to add my voice against the coal port expansion project. I am very concerned that the relative short term benefits are in no way a responsible way forward for our immediate surroundings or the planet itself. The export of coal must slow down not speed up. I have seen the smoke from China's factories on the west coast of BC. Global pollution and climate related changes are not a joke, nor are they going away. Plus, right here at home we stand to pollute with coal dust all along the route, from Fraser Vally, through greater Vancouver, along the Strait of Georgia, and between the Islands. I sincerely hope that more public hearings are held and you will hear the publics view.

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 3, 2013 4:55 pm

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10_7_5) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/31.0.1650.57 Safari/537.36

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Sent: December-03-13 5:06 PM

To: FSD-EIA

Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;

MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca

Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

I try not to be negative about so called progress but the track record of moving coal and heavy oil through our cities and waterways sets up new dangers that in my opinion will shaft our siblings. Just when and the size of the disasters are unknown in spite of assurances that the best of measures will be taken to prevent accidents you and I know they will happen. Leave well enough alone!

Sincerely,

Chilliwack, B.C.

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Sent: December-03-13 5:20 PM

To: FSD-EIA

Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlt.h.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca

Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Dear Members of the Port Authority,

With respect to the proposal to ship American thermal coal through the Port of Vancouver, I am very concerned about the potential negative health effects for my family, friends and neighbors who live close to the rail corridor. I understand that

a team of physicians who studied the impacts of coal storage and transportation over a two-year period discovered significant negative impacts on people's hearts, lungs and brains.

Medical personnel found that the Environmental Impact Assessment for the proposed thermal coal project does not meet "even the most basic requirements of a Health Impact Assessment." Specifically, it doesn't assess impacts of coal transport on the residents of White Rock, Surrey, Delta, Texada Island, nor the waters and islands along the coastal shipping route. Assuming that you care about your own, heart, lungs and brain, I would ask that you refuse to visit such damage to other peoples organs by denying the Fraser Surrey Docks permit. Please, please, please, stop expanding coal exports pending a full health impact assessment!

I'm given to understand that your EIA also doesn't assess the greenhouse gas impacts of the end-use burning of coal. If you have children or grandchildren whom you care about, your actions are contributing to a very unpleasant world for them. I beg you to please reconsider the effects of your actions before relegating many people to a terrible future.

Sincerely,

Surrey

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: US Coal is not good for BC

Sent: December-03-13 6:11 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; h1th.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: US Coal is not good for BC

Name
Email
Subject US Coal is not good for BC
Message US thermal coal is not good for people in The lower mainland. It is not good for our environment and it is time for change for our children sake.
Site <http://realporthearings.org>
Date/Time: December 3, 2013 6:11 pm
Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126
Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (iPhone; CPU iPhone OS 6_0_1 like Mac OS X) AppleWebKit/536.26 (KHTML, like Gecko) Version/6.0 Mobile/10A523 Safari/8536.25

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: No to coal expansion

Sent: December-03-13 7:24 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlt.h.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: No to coal expansion

Name
Email
Subject

No to coal expansion

Message

Dear Port Authority More than 2,000 scientists from 154 countries typically participate in the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Their conclusion is that we must phase out the burning of fossil fuels as soon as possible, yesterday being preferable. So for you to expand the port to allow more coal to be exported is simply mind boggling. Do you not believe the scientists? It does not matter where the coal is burned, it puts Greenhouse Gases into the atmosphere increasing global temperatures and climate change. The people of the Philippines are begging the global community to mitigate climate change, as they are the suffering from it's devastating effects. Please, please do not expand the port to allow more coal to be exported. Not only will you benefit, so will your children, nieces, nephews, grandchildren and great grandchildren. You will be heroes to them, not villains. With Hope, The science is clear - in order to avoid catastrophic global warming, we must NOT burn about 80% of existing fossil fuel supplies. Therefore, increasing the export of coal is a kind of insanity at best, and an act of gross indifference against future generations at worst. Any environmental review that does not consider this basic fact about climate change is a tragic and immoral joke. Even though I do not live near the proposed port, I have a right to be involved in this decision since we only have one atmosphere and we all share it no matter where we live. Cowichan Carbon Buster Maple Bay, BC

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 3, 2013 7:23 pm

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 5.1) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/31.0.1650.57 Safari/537.36

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: comment

Sent: December-03-13 7:29 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: comment

Name
Email
Subject comment
Message I would like to join with other voices in opposing the Port Authority and the Government departments that are running "rough shod" over the educated voice of the people. Can you say "DEMOCRACY"?
Site <http://realporthearings.org>
Date/Time: December 3, 2013 7:28 pm
Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126
Using (user agent): Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 8.0; Windows NT 5.1; Trident/4.0; Media Center PC 3.0; .NET CLR 1.0.3705; .NET CLR 2.0.50727; .NET CLR 3.0.4506.2152; .NET CLR 3.5.30729; McAfee)

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Protest to Coal Trains moving through North Delta

Sent: December-03-13 8:17 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Protest to Coal Trains moving through North Delta

Name

Email

Subject Protest to Coal Trains moving through North Delta

Message

I am in full opposition to coal trains passing through North Delta, along highway 91, and River Road to the Surrey Fraser Docks. I have chosen this community for the healthy lifestyle it currently promotes and am very disappointed that this decision would even be considered.

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 3, 2013 8:16 pm

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10_8_5) AppleWebKit/537.71 (KHTML, like Gecko) Version/6.1 Safari/537.71

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Don't do this.

Sent: December-03-13 9:10 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Don't do this.

Name

Email

Subject Don't do this.

Message Well, obviously the Port Authority has already made up its mind. They're in the business of taking as much money as they can from whoever will give it to them. So I'm not sure why we're bothering to appeal to them. But the provincial and federal governments theoretically read these submissions. So..... Let the Americans ship their own thermal coal. If they must ship it at all. Time to stop subsidizing fossil fuels. Subsidize renewable fuels instead. Do the right thing.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 3, 2013 9:09 pm

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (compatible; MSIE 10.0; Windows NT 6.1; WOW64; Trident/6.0)

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Sent: December-03-13 10:59 PM

To: FSD-EIA

Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;

MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca

Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

No permit should be granted for the Fraser Surrey Dock application because of the harm to the health of people - including some of my family members - living nearby, and because of the harm these proposed coal shipments will do to the people of Tianjiin, where the coal is headed.

The people here want their government to stop the coal shipments, and the people there in China want their government to stop the coal shipments - but we are both having to fight hard to get what we want. Show them that BC and Canada are better than Communist China!!

It is purely selfish to shut down our coal-fired power plants and smelters here but then to send this dirty fuel somewhere else. Shame on us if we export our problem

There is a "bigger" reason too - the less coal we burn the better. You know why, even if you deny the reality of global warming publically, you know. Even if you rationalise the contribution of this coal to global warming somehow, you know it isn't true. We must reduce the amount of coal we burn globally, and this project is going to increase the amount of coal burned.

The buck stops here - do the right thing and halt this project.

Sincerely,

Salmo

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Sent: December-03-13 11:00 PM

To: FSD-EIA

Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;
MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca

Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

According to our medical officers, the EIA does not meet “even the most basic requirements of a Health Impact Assessment.”

The EIA does not assess impacts of coal transport on White Rock, Surrey, Delta, Texada Island and the waters and islands along the coastal shipping route.

Unlike the assessment for a proposed coal port just across the border near Bellingham Washington, Port Metro’s EIA does not assess the greenhouse gas impacts of the end-use burning of coal.

If the port authority won’t start over and provide a proper assessment, it should deny the Fraser Surrey Docks permit and stop expanding coal exports.

Sincerely,

Nanaimo

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: risks for marine mammals from increased shipping

Sent: December-04-13 12:41 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: risks for marine mammals from increased shipping

Name

Email

Subject risks for marine mammals from increased shipping

Message

Strikes by giant tankers and container ships are a leading cause of whale mortality worldwide. <http://ow.ly/rpTsB> The coal exporters need to develop marine plans and protected areas BEFORE making decisions on location and feasibility of coal terminals, transfer sites shipping lanes and shipping and barge traffic routes.

<http://ow.ly/rpTsB>

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 4, 2013 12:40 am

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10.9; rv:25.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/25.0

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal shiped to Texada

Sent: December-04-13 9:02 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Coal shiped to Texada

Name

Email

Subject Coal shiped to Texada

Message

Please do not ship the US dirty coal to Texada Island for storage and shipment to China. With no metal cover plates on the barges going to Texada and no indoor storage facilitates on Texada, this toxic coal dust is polluting right now, Spraying the coal does not work. Lars Hawkes , Powell River.

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 4, 2013 9:02 am

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.1; WOW64; rv:25.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/25.0

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

From:
Sent: December-04-13 9:06 AM
To: FSD-EIA
Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;
MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca
Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

You do not have my consent to make profits and power at my health and environment's expense.

Sincerely,
Surrey

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: EIA

From:
Sent: December-04-13 10:37 AM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: EIA

Subject EIA

Message Coal is dirty. This is no secret. As BC tries to deliver on a statement made by it's premier to be a cleaner and greener province, allowing more coal to be dug, shipped and consumed anywhere, wether in or out of province, seems to me to be a bit of a step backwards. One principle that should be looked at is the 'cradle to grave' view of the coal cycle. This alone should be enough to put a stop to expansion. Where do you live? Would you have this in your backyard? Do you really wish it on someone else? A vigorous EIA is required, not some watered down version with little research done by real qualified professionals. Leave the bureaucrats out of the assessment process so that it is clear, concise, accurate, and free of inside pressure. Keep it green,

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: No US Thermal Coal Exports

From:
Sent: December-04-13 10:59 AM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlt.h.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: No US Thermal Coal Exports

Subject No US Thermal Coal Exports

Message

The shoddy report commissioned by the Port Authority misses the mark and is an insulting effort to whitewash a number of important issues that are hidden under the carpet in this decision-making process. They are: that this FSD request is the thin edge of the wedge toward making BC "The" Pacific Coast coal export choice. The demise of the Massey Tunnel, to be replaced by a bridge allowing tanker traffic to enhance our industrial capacity along the Fraser is the "elephant in the room"- a real possibility. We'd all like shares in FSD in that event- a new Westshore. Really, a regional industrialization plan should be developed for the Lower Mainland- first. Shall we destroy the spawning capacity of the Fraser river? It is premature to consider one application for a noxious substance transferred by stone age transportation in our precious inland waters, without a regional consultation on enhanced shipment of US low grade coal. Even Warren Buffet, an owner, through Berkshire Hathaway, of BNSF, the railroad transporting this coal, says that coal export terminals are a bad investment (Goldman Sachs analyst). Health Authorities have issued a scathing 8 page critique of the study, noting that it doesn't meet even the most basic requirements for a proper assessment of health and environmental risks. I couldn't agree more. The EIA is simply a padded-out repackaging of existing research meant to justify approval. It doesn't look at the impacts of transporting US coal by rail through our neighbourhoods, it doesn't consider the impacts of shipping that coal by barge to Texada Island, and it ignores the impact of burning that coal on our climate. Of course the future of Vancouver involves increased international trade, and we are a resource rich nation. We don't need to hop aboard any businessman's version of free enterprise if it violates the values British Columbians hold regarding environmental protection. "Railroaded" for sure...

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Port proposed for Fraser River, Surrey

From:
Sent: December-04-13 11:21 AM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Coal Port proposed for Fraser River, Surrey

Subject Coal Port proposed for Fraser River, Surrey
Message I live in New Westminster and do not want to see this proposed coal port on the Fraser River.
Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Train

From:
Sent: December-04-13 11:23 AM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Coal Train

Subject Coal Train

Message So the U.S. ports will not accept coal freight through their docks, so lets send it through Canada, expecting that their citizens will just roll over on this issue. The plan is to transport this material through a few densely populated small communities, along an aging railway track that follows a winding route beside an unstable and landslide prone slope which also runs alongside a coastline that just happens to be a major migration route stopover for waterfowl. On top of that , we also know that coal dust containment is and probably always will be elusive, whether en route or at the transfer facilities, and that port recommendations for impact mitigation have been deemed woefully inadequate. Quit staring at the dollar signs and give your collective heads a shake .A decision to allow this will haunt our communities for years to generations to come.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: coal

From:
Sent: December-04-13 11:45 AM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: coal

Subject coal

Message this is a really bad idea. you do need to start over. this doe not meet today's environmental standards. Please take a look at the bigger picture of our coast line. not just the money...this is our health

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Response to EIA

From:
Sent: December-04-13 11:47 AM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Response to EIA

Subject Response to EIA

Message

I write in response to the recently completed Environmental Impact Assessment. First, this should not have been conducted by SNC Lavalin as it was a definite conflict of interest due to the nature of their business. It should have been a totally impartial body. In any case their report is, to put it mildly, inadequate due to virtually no provision for potential human health risks. In fact, the report is more concerned with the effects on wildlife than on the health of the people who would be affected by the trains, coal dust, diesel fumes and other hazards. In addition, the report ignores the fact the trains carrying US thermal coal would impact residents and communities from the time they cross the border until they reach Fraser Surrey Docks. Equally lacking is the fact that open barges would travel down the Fraser River through the Gulf Islands to Texada Island where the coal would be piled exposed to the elements. It is beyond belief that the report would state "the project is not likely to cause significant adverse environmental, socio-economic or health effects". How can this be taken seriously when responsible citizens are making known their experiences with existing coal trains. On two occasions that I am aware of, people driving on an overpass with a coal train passing beneath, were physically affected by the discharge of coal dust and noxious fumes. This together with the undoubted adverse effects on property values, the negligible employment benefits compared to the risks of handling this product which the States below us are determined to reject, makes the comment above from the report, totally irresponsible to the point of being hilarious. One more aspect to the total inadequacy of this report, is the fact that an apology for any health impact was conducted by Dr. Ritter, whose field is pesticides. He concludes there is no risk of adverse health effects. This tends to fly in the face of the opinion of the 34 doctors and health professionals associated with the Lower Mainland Universities. It seems to me, Dr. Ritter, who I understand received his appointment in veterinary medicine, should have some concern for people, which appears to be sadly lacking. Please pay attention to the Councils of the Cities which would be impacted, the Medical Officers, the Nurses Union, the Physicians for the Environment and the countless ordinary citizens who are demanding you deny this application. You may have a responsibility to increase the business conducted by the Port, but do you not have a much greater responsibility to keep people healthy and the environment unblemished. In the strongest possible terms, I call on you to reject the permit application by Fraser Surrey Docks

Surrey, B.C.

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: EIA

From:
Sent: December-04-13 12:21 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: EIA

Subject EIA

Message

I support the call by the Lower Mainland's chief medical health officers that another thorough report be conducted on the proposed expansion of a coal-export terminal at the Fraser Surrey Docks. The request to undertake a health impact and environmental assessment that meets local and international standards is a MUST. The latest EIA report FAILS to adequately address the human health impacts associated with the project. It is also alarming that the assessment focused primarily on the terminal, rather than the full geographic area that may be under threat including Texada Island, White Rock and South Surrey. I concur with chief medical health officers, Dr. Patricia Daly and Dr. Paul Van Buynder suggestion that they, as health officers, are well placed to work with Port Metro Vancouver on a proper, comprehensive assessment of public health risks. This EIA report which was conducted by consultants SNC Lavalin under Fraser Surrey Docks' direction inadequately addresses health impact concerns. The EIA report did not answer important, valid questions about the increased risks coal dust can have on people, communities and the environment. I strongly encourage Port Metro Vancouver to listen to the concerns of health professionals and the public and ask that you conduct the thorough and appropriate examination a project of this size deserves and requires. The significant risks associated with the transportation of raw resources through densely populated regions must be addressed before any plans for a coal-export terminal at Fraser Surrey Docks move forward, that the health and well-being of thousands of citizens who are directly or indirectly impacted, remains the top priority.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal exports

From:
Sent: December-04-13 1:06 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporhearings.org
Subject: Coal exports

Subject Coal exports
Message You said it all
Site <http://realporhearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: No Coal Trains from the US

From:
Sent: December-04-13 1:14 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: No Coal Trains from the US

Subject No Coal Trains from the US
Message Please do not go ahead with this. We have our own coal trains going through and that's enough. Please let them use there own ports for anything potentially hazardous. Truly let's figure out a better way to get 25 new jobs. Regards,
Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: coal port in or near Vancouver

From:
Sent: December-04-13 2:26 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlt.h.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: coal port in or near Vancouver

Subject coal port in or near Vancouver

Message It is insanity in this day and age to locate a coal port near a city. From its mining to its smoke coal is no longer a viable source of energy. As a person who well remembers the smog of the 1940/50 in England I am aware of threat. I also remember the Westray disaster and the resultant inquiry results..We should be examining and financing renewable energy sources while there is still time to do so, to do otherwise is suicidal. .

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Slow down, listen and assess properly

From:
Sent: December-04-13 2:33 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; h1th.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Slow down, listen and assess properly

Subject Slow down, listen and assess properly

Message We are very troubled that the Metro Vancouver Port Authority is steaming ahead with an inadequate assessment of proposals to vastly expand exports of coal from the Vancouver area. We agree with the arguments of other opponents, most notably that there should be no expansion of coal exports without an assessment that: -- consults Health Authorities and other key stakeholders from the start, including determining the scope and terms of reference; --considers the risks of the proposed coal export expansion at Neptune Terminals; --examines all local impacts from the time the coal enters our region by rail to the time it enters international waters by ocean going vessel; --considers climate change impacts of the end use of that coal; --is open and transparent and incorporate public hearings. Without this the Port Authority has not legitimate grounds for approving the expansion of coal exports from Metro Vancouver.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: NO MORE COAL TRANSPORT

From:
Sent: December-04-13 3:34 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; [info@realporthearings.org](http://realporthearings.org)
Subject: NO MORE COAL TRANSPORT

Subject NO MORE COAL TRANSPORT

Message Please, at this time in history when there is virtual consensus within the scientific community about anthropogenic climate change, it is urgent that we act responsibly and not allow coal to be transported through our waters. Coal dust will spew all along the route, causing local environmental damage. When IT reaches its final destination, the coal will be burned, spewing CO2 into an already over-burdened atmosphere. PLEASE TAKE A STAND ON THE RIGHT SIDE OF HISTORY: NO TO COAL, YES TO RENEWABLE ENERGY!

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Export EIA flawed

From:
Sent: December-04-13 3:34 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Coal Export EIA flawed

Subject Coal Export EIA flawed

Message My message is simple. Everything we do as human beings on this planet at this time must reflect the saving of our earth for our children and their children. Fossil fuels are causing extreme environmental conditions for us now. THIS MUST CHANGE!!! Do the right thing and work toward this goal.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Port Expansion Environmental Impact Assessment

From:
Sent: December-04-13 3:57 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Coal Port Expansion Environmental Impact Assessment

Subject Coal Port Expansion Environmental Impact Assessment

Message SNC Lavalin has been deeply involved in the coal industry and has had previous business connections with Fraser Surrey Docks. I cannot see how an independent Environmental Impact Assessment could be "independent" given this history. The coal expansion Environmental Impact Assessment must be re envisioned with the broadest possible input from the public and Metro health authorities as to its scope as well as the terms of reference of the Assessment. It also must include the recent expansion of the Neptune terminal in North Vancouver as well as the impact of coal transportation from the proposed point of entry into Canada through to its proposed final transfer point to ships at Texada Island. And, very importantly, a truly INDEPENDENT body must be commissioned to perform this most important task.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Proposed increase in shipping of thermal coal

From:
Sent: December-04-13 6:01 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Proposed increase in shipping of thermal coal

Subject Proposed increase in shipping of thermal coal

Message While the Port may not necessarily be required to take into account environmental considerations, I find it unconscionable that the Port is considering allowing expansion of coal exports from the port. Apart from local environmental concerns, there is the bigger issue of these coal exports further contributing to climate change. When will we wake up and say enough is enough. Does the Port want to be seen as a contributor or participant in climate change by allowing this expansion? To say simply the coal will be exported by another port is not a satisfactory justification. Washington and Oregon already will not allow export of thermal coal from their ports. Why shouldn't the Vancouver Fraser Port Authority take this stand? For the sake of all of our children and future generations I urge you to reject this expansion. Yours sincerely,

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: FSD and PMV are both running scared

From:
Sent: December-04-13 6:14 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; h1th.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: FSD and PMV are both running scared

Subject FSD and PMV are both running scared

Message The only reason that these people don't want an independent HIA and EIA done is that they are afraid of what the outcome would be. What other reason could there be for not doing what is right. If the independent studies were done and they came back with the same results as the flawed process then this whole debate would be dead and they would eliminate the opposition to the whole idea. Why wouldn't they do this to put an end to the debate? I think they are scared that the truth would come out and it would increase the debate. PMV @ FSD, stop hiding behind your veil of secrecy and do what's right for everyone.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

From:
Sent: December-04-13 7:39 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;
MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca
Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

When will the masses actually make that impact? Help?

Sincerely,
Victoria

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal to the East

From:
Sent: December-04-13 8:15 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; h1th.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporhearings.org
Subject: Coal to the East

Subject Coal to the East

Message The environmental assessment is flawed in the idea to send Surrey Fraser Docks coal to Texada. 1. It is a ten-fold increase in shipment for which the coast is ill-prepared. 2. It is US coal going to other countries, only trans-shipped through BC 2. Surrey-Fraser should be able to ship direct. 4. Impacts of coal dust drift and pollution have not been addressed. 5. Out-of-date statistics are used. 6. Single-hulled freighters are being proposed. I say NO!

Site <http://realporhearings.org>

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Port expansion

Sent: December-05-13 2:33 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlt.h.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Coal Port expansion

Name

Email

Subject Coal Port expansion

Message The health of the lower mainland's ecosystems and lower mainland residents are far more important than any short-term economic benefits from more coal shipping!

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 5, 2013 2:33 am

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10.6; rv:25.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/25.0

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: You have to listen, it's your job!

Sent: December-05-13 7:10 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: You have to listen, it's your job!

Name

Email

Subject

You have to listen, it's your job!

Message

We are all tax payers. You MUST listen to us! As a mother, a wife, a human being.... do the right thing and get a REAL EIA before making a decision that will impact so many in a negative way forever! Do you really want this to be your legacy???? Be remembered for doing the right thing. Thanks for listening.

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 5, 2013 7:10 am

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10_6_8) AppleWebKit/534.59.8 (KHTML, like Gecko) Version/5.1.9 Safari/534.59.8

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Fatally flawed assessment of coal port facility

Sent: December-05-13 8:04 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlt.h.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Fatally flawed assessment of coal port facility

Name

Email

Subject

Fatally flawed assessment of coal port facility

Message

Coal port expansion must be approached cautiously. The impacts of climate change, coal mines, and rail traffic are severe. The Montana Environmental Information Center strongly believes we should not be shipping North American coal overseas. The Port should put a moratorium on coal export expansion and conduct proper health and environmental impact assessments. An environmental assessment must include the following features: • consider climate change impacts of the end use of coal. It's not sufficient to say the coal will come from somewhere else if not from here. That tragedy of the commons attitude is incorrect and fatalistic. Thank goodness we didn't say that when addressing the hole in the ozone layer; • involve key stakeholders like the Health Authorities from the start, including determining the scope and terms of reference; • include an assessment of the risks of the proposed coal export expansion at Neptune Terminals; • consider all local impacts from the time the coal enters our region by rail to the time it enters international waters by ocean going vessel; • encourage and incorporate meaningful public involvement. Have an open and transparent process with public hearings. If the port cannot or will not properly assess the potential impacts of coal exports, it should deny the Fraser Surrey Docks permit and suspend any further expansion of coal exports.

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 5, 2013 8:03 am

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10_6_8) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/31.0.1650.63 Safari/537.36

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal transportation

Sent: December-05-13 8:39 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Coal transportation

Name
Email
Subject Coal transportation
Message To Those Making Wise decisions: Coal dust is not helpful to man or fish and coal dust will travel to both. Avoid contamination of both. Linda Hoechstetter
Site <http://realporthearings.org>
Date/Time: December 5, 2013 8:38 am
Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126
Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (iPad; CPU OS 7_0_3 like Mac OS X) AppleWebKit/537.51.1 (KHTML, like Gecko) Version/7.0 Mobile/11B511 Safari/9537.53

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Fraser Surrey Docks EIA

Sent: December-05-13 8:49 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Fraser Surrey Docks EIA

Name

Email

Subject

Fraser Surrey Docks EIA

Message

Good morning, After reading the response from the Fraser and Vancouver Coast Health Authorities regarding the EIA for the proposed increase in coal exports from Fraser Surrey Docks, I'm concerned that the full health impacts of this project have not been considered. I believe that the Port must conduct a full health impact assessment as recommended by our region's health authorities. Thank you for your time in this matter,

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 5, 2013 8:48 am

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.1; WOW64; rv:25.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/25.0

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Port Expansiin

Sent: December-05-13 8:49 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Coal Port Expansiin

Name

Email

Subject Coal Port Expansiin

Message

Bringing coal trains on a regular basis along the coast through White Rock, Crescent Beach, etc will prove very hazardous to everyones health, particularly people already suffering from chest problems such as asthma,, bronchitis, CLPD. The constant coal dust settles on everything and turns beautiful fresh aired coastal areas into those "Dark Satanic Mills' of Northern England at the turn of the Century when the countryside was inundated with the effluence from Mill Chimneys and much burning of coal and air polluted with coal dust transported by trains and trucks! Please don't turn back the hands of time!

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 5, 2013 8:49 am

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.1; WOW64; Trident/7.0; rv:11.0) like Gecko

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: request for better EIA for Fraser Surrey Docks

Sent: December-05-13 9:46 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlt.h.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: request for better EIA for Fraser Surrey Docks

Name

Email

Subject

request for better EIA for Fraser Surrey Docks

Message

Coal extraction, transportation and consumption are now being assessed by many states, many nations. The health risks, the environmental risks, and the financial risks of continued dependence on coal impact citizens and their environment. All the key stakeholders must be involved in the study. The State of Washington has begun an expansive risk assessment and kept the process open and transparent, incorporating public hearings and reviewing public comments. Climate change impacts are a vital part of the risk associated with the end use of coal. If the port needs more time to properly assess the potential impacts of coal exports there is no shame in denying the Fraser Surrey Docks permit and beginning a more comprehensive study of the complete cycle of coal and the impacts of extraction, transportation and the impacts of burning coal. Again proper health and environmental impact assessments are needed before granting the Fraser Surrey Docks permit. Thank you,

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 5, 2013 9:45 am

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 5.1) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/31.0.1650.57 Safari/537.36

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: EIA - My comment

Sent: December-05-13 10:55 AM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: EIA - My comment

To Tim Blair and anyone else responsible at Port Metro Vancouver;

I hope this letter appeals to the person that was made in God's image with the attributes of love, justice, mercy, kindness and everything else good.

There is a distinct element in our world now that is bent on destruction and destroying everything good.

It has been here for 100 years. We've seen a century of certain people in power capable of discerning what is good but choosing instead to do what is destructive.

There are those of us who don't desire to have any power over others, who just want to live our lives in peace and without the destruction, loving our earth and all of the rest of creation. I would say that's the majority of mankind.

Fortunately I still have hope for the majority of mankind. I believe in the bible and the justice that it holds. I pray for God's Kingdom to come and His Will to be done on the Earth as it is in Heaven and to deliver us from the evil one. I pray for this everyday because there's chemtrails being sprayed over our earth at an alarming rate. There is coal burning everyday that is filling our atmosphere. There are cars polluting, our oceans are dying, we're killing the life everywhere. The only thing that can save us is mercy from the One who gave us this place for free and hoped we'd have the good sense to take care of it. I believe most of us would if we had better leaders.

That brings us to you. What kind of a leader are you? Will you lead us into more destruction? Eventually causing an oil spill in our very precious Straits? Wreaking havoc on so many life forms and people's means of living? Polluting our food sources and people along the way to make a buck? Will you prove yourself to be this type of person? You do have the power to create this. You will also be held accountable in so many ways that you will never forget if you are responsible for more damage.

Who are you answering to in your exclusive ability to create or destroy? I hope you prove to be a good person. I hope you choose the right course and you can answer proudly to a lot of people who will have deep respect for you and hold you in high regard. Who will those people be? The other ones' destroying? Will it be their pressure that you bend to?

I know there are a lot of good choices here. There is the good choice to stop exportation of coal to China so we can put a halt to the pollution and lead the way into making coal burning more efficient. I know the good choice here would be to pursue very green and earth friendly initiatives. The good choice here would be to become a leader in saying no to the pressure to keep taking and never giving back. I hope you feel the good pressure and bend to it.

Whatever you choose, I will keep praying for God's Kingdom. We don't appreciate this huge gift that has been bestowed upon us and how to take care of it. If we did, we wouldn't be in this mess. If you do bend to the good pressure, I would gladly like to meet you one day and work together to bring this world back to the pristine state it should be in.

SNC Lavlin are not a reputable company so I can't comment on the EIA. That report, I believe, has been bought and paid for corruptly.

Sincerely,

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Environmental Impact Assessment

Sent: December-05-13 12:44 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; h1th.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Environmental Impact Assessment

Name
Email
Subject

Environmental Impact Assessment

Message

As Paula Williams noted, were the PMV decision consistent with running a business in which marketing analyzed the 'run up "comments PMV would quickly adjust and redo the assessment, including input from people with proper credentials and open to a full look at the Health Impacts of this FSD proposal. For, on the face of it, with all the criticism for the flawed nature of the assessment, including the lack of employing qualified industry standards, PM should reconsider and go back to the drawing board. It is not too late, in spite of CEO Robyn Sylvesters comment" that the PMV was "done' with Health assessments...ironic since the EIA failed to address human health impacts. There is still time. Do the right thing. Down the road, if you make the choice to do proper due diligence, engage the health authorities properly, this can be done in a meaningful manner. Whatever decision you make, the credibility of your decision will resonate with the public, if they believe you have done your fullest to meet the requests of the people who look after our health. Please reconsider your decision with this in mind. If you fail to do this, we are all the conduits of your shortened mindset. It doesn't have to be that way. Please get in touch with Fraser Health and do this properly. Thank you.

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 5, 2013 12:43 pm

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (compatible; MSIE 10.0; Windows NT 6.1; WOW64; Trident/6.0)

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From: webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org [<mailto:webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org>] On Behalf
Of Sent: December-05-13 1:56 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Tim Blair, Senior Planner
Port Metro Vancouver
100 The Pointe, 999 Canada Place
Vancouver, BC V6C 3T4

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC
Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

I am very concerned about the coal blowing around our country on the way to our port. We know that the use of fossil fuels needs to be drastically reduced.

Courtenay, B. C.

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at salishseaaction.org

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From: webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org [<mailto:webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org>] On Behalf
Of Sent: December-05-13 2:13 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Tim Blair, Senior Planner
Port Metro Vancouver
100 The Pointe, 999 Canada Place
Vancouver, BC V6C 3T4

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC
Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

We live across from the Fraser Surrey docks. Our furniture is consistently covered in a fine dust now so we know that anything new over there will only increase the dust. We know this dust doesn't just sit on the furniture but that we probably breathe it too. We are respectfully requesting that you provide us with a health impact assessment of this proposed facility carried out by someone that is viewed as neutral and trustworthy.

It can't be denied any longer that our climate is changing around the world. Please be part of the solution to try to fix some of the damage we have done instead of adding to it. Please deny the permit to Fraser Surrey Docks.

Thank you.

New Westminster, BC

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at salishseaaction.org

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From: webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org [<mailto:webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org>] On Behalf Of

Sent: December-05-13 2:32 PM

To: FSD-EIA

Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Tim Blair, Senior Planner
Port Metro Vancouver
100 The Pointe, 999 Canada Place
Vancouver, BC V6C 3T4

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

too many health concerns hover over this project. A detailed assessment is necessary.
Thank you,

Vancouver, British Columbia

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at salishseaction.org

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From: webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org [<mailto:webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org>] On Behalf
Sent: December-05-13 2:40 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Tim Blair, Senior Planner
Port Metro Vancouver
100 The Pointe, 999 Canada Place
Vancouver, BC V6C 3T4

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC
Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

We deserve a full independent hearing on the proposed Fraser Surrey Docks coal facility, as has been afforded to citizens of Washington State by regulators assessing coal terminals this year.

We deserve a comprehensive health impact assessment of the proposed Fraser Surrey Docks coal facility, as publicly recommended by Health Authority officials.

I am concerned about the climate impacts currently being experienced in Canada and throughout the world, which are exacerbated by the export and consumption of fossil fuels.

I urge you to deny Fraser Surrey Docks a permit for its proposed coal facility, and to start taking responsibility for the climate damage that will result from the growing export of fossil fuels from Metro Vancouver ports.

Sincerely,
Vancouver, BC

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at salishseaaction.org

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From: webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org [<mailto:webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org>] On Behalf
Of Sent: December-05-13 2:57 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Tim Blair, Senior Planner
Port Metro Vancouver
100 The Pointe, 999 Canada Place
Vancouver, BC V6C 3T4

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC
Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

Dear Mr Blair,

I urge you not to sell this great country "down the river" as did a certain other Mr Blair in my former country of residence.

I'm sure you are aware of the current annihilation we are watching unfold in front of our eyes of a once decent country that was a direct result of decisions such as this a few years ago.

England is finished. It will never recover and tragically, having lived through this already, Canada is rapidly heading in the same direction. Greedily selling off pounds of flesh to fill the coffers without considering the future welfare and repercussions on our majestic country, one of the few left in the world to be truly proud of.

This is why I chose to leave Britain and raise my children in British Columbia. I am attempting to raise compassionate, dynamic human beings that will make a difference to the world we live in. What future do you offer for their contribution to the advancement of Canada?

Shame on you.

But you are in a position to help halt this negative destructive process.

I deserve a full independent hearing on the proposed Fraser Surrey Docks coal facility, as has been afforded to citizens of Washington State by regulators assessing coal terminals this year.

I deserve a comprehensive health impact assessment of the proposed Fraser Surrey Docks coal facility, as publicly recommended by Health Authority officials.

I am concerned about the climate impacts currently being experienced in Canada and throughout the world, which are exacerbated by the export and consumption of fossil fuels.

I urge you to deny Fraser Surrey Docks a permit for its proposed coal facility, and to start taking responsibility for the climate damage that will result from the growing export of fossil fuels from Metro Vancouver ports.

Yours concernedly,
Comox, BC

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at salishseaaction.org

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Real review required.

Sent: December-05-13 3:11 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlt.h.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Real review required.

Name

Email

Subject

Real review required.

Message

For the reasons stated in the September 26th letter to Craig Neeser, Robin Silvester, and Jeff Scott titled 'Inadequacies in announced Environmental Impact Assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Coal Transfer Project' the proposed EIA is clearly not sufficient to ensure the protection of either the people who live in metro Vancouver or the broader climate generally. It is difficult to imagine how one can look at the evidence and conclude this EIA serves the public good in any sense. Please consider the well being of us all in your decisions.

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 5, 2013 3:10 pm

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.1) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/31.0.1650.57 Safari/537.36

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From: webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org [<mailto:webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org>] On Behalf
Of Sent: December-05-13 3:21 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Tim Blair, Senior Planner
Port Metro Vancouver
100 The Pointe, 999 Canada Place
Vancouver, BC V6C 3T4

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC
Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

What an insane idea to ship US coal from Canada, let them deal with it themselves,,,,,we need an open ie non rigged, public enquiry to assess climate, health risks along with declining and degraded environmental impacts on all of us who live in the lower mainland and have recreational homes on the gulf islands like we do on both Saturna Island and Denman Island. What are we trying to achieve here in the long run for ourselves and our children?? This is not the way forward in any shape or form ...vancouver bc

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at salishseaction.org

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From: webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org [<mailto:webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org>] On Behalf
Of Sent: December-05-13 3:37 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Tim Blair, Senior Planner
Port Metro Vancouver
100 The Pointe, 999 Canada Place
Vancouver, BC V6C 3T4

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC
Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

Greetings,

I would like to voice my formal, vehement opposition to any proposed coal port facility expansion in B.C.

Any such proposal should have a full, independent hearing involving all parties that have a vested interest of whether such a proposal should be allowed to go ahead or not. A comprehensive health impact assessment of any proposed coal facility expansion or creation is crucial, and has been recommended by BC Health Authority officials.

Canada and BC should be world leaders in creating clean, sustainable energy sources (such as wind, tidal, and solar), rather than contributing to further greenhouse gas creating, dirty, unsustainable fossil fuel production and exporting.

Do the right thing and do all in your power to stop any further creation or expansion of coal port facilities in BC or anywhere in Canada for that matter.

Sincerely,

White Rock, BC

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at salishseaction.org

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From: webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org [mailto:webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org] On Behalf
Of Sent: December-05-13 3:38 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Tim Blair, Senior Planner
Port Metro Vancouver
100 The Pointe, 999 Canada Place
Vancouver, BC V6C 3T4

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC
Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

I urge you to deny Fraser Surrey Docks a permit for its proposed coal facility, and to start taking responsibility for the climate damage that will result from the growing export of fossil fuels from Metro Vancouver ports.

Stop now! No amount of money or jobs can replace the earth.

Sincerely,
Vancouver, BC

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at salishseaaction.org

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From: webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org [<mailto:webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org>] On Behalf
Of Sent: December-05-13 3:42 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Tim Blair, Senior Planner
Port Metro Vancouver
100 The Pointe, 999 Canada Place
Vancouver, BC V6C 3T4

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC
Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

I urge you to deny Fraser Surrey Docks a permit for its proposed coal facility, and to start taking responsibility for the climate damage that will result from the growing export of fossil fuels from Metro Vancouver ports.

The US should export its coal from its own shores, not ours.
North Van, BC

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at salishseaaction.org

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal terminal impact assessment

Sent: December-05-13 3:44 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Coal terminal impact assessment

Name

Email

Subject Coal terminal impact assessment

Message

I am very concerned that the coal export facilities expansion is being fast-tracked without proper assessment of public input. As a citizen of the province and a resident of the Metro Vancouver area, I demand that this expansion be subject to proper process, including: - a full assessment of health impacts - a full risk assessment to local ecosystems - an assessment of contributions to global climate change - a proper process for public consultation. To approve this project without the above being fully included is an abdication of your proper responsibility and stewardship, and of the public trust. - Vancouver

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 5, 2013 3:43 pm

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10.8; rv:25.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/25.0

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From: webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org [<mailto:webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org>] On Behalf
Of Sent: December-05-13 3:50 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Tim Blair, Senior Planner
Port Metro Vancouver
100 The Pointe, 999 Canada Place
Vancouver, BC V6C 3T4

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC
Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

Dear Mr. Blair
Senior Planner
Port Metro Vancouver

I am writing to ask you to deny Fraser Surrey Docks a permit for its proposed coal facility. No such approval should be given until a public independent hearing has been done on the proposal as was done in Washington state. I also urge that a study be undertaken with respect to the impact on the health of the population of the surrounding areas from this increased transfer of coal to the port. The possible effects on both the environment and the population are extremely serious and must be thoroughly investigated before going ahead with such a project. It involves the well-being of millions of residents of British Columbia.

Yours truly,
Vancouver, British Columbia

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at salishseaaction.org

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From: webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org [<mailto:webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org>] On Behalf Of
Sent: December-05-13 4:10 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Tim Blair, Senior Planner
Port Metro Vancouver
100 The Pointe, 999 Canada Place
Vancouver, BC V6C 3T4

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

Dear Sir,

It seems that the above project is a "fait accompli" and the public comment process is a token offering, like so many of these processes.

I demand that all comments be made public in an independent review, and that a proper independent environmental assessment relating to public health issues be made and published. In any event, this facility should not be built as it will contribute to more fossil fuel burning which will aggravate global warming.

Vancouver,, BC

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at salishseaaction.org

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Proposed expansion of coal ports and shipments to Asia via The Strait of Georgia

Sent: December-05-13 4:48 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlt.h.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Proposed expansion of coal ports and shipments to Asia via The Strait of Georgia

Name

Email

Subject

Proposed expansion of coal ports and shipments to Asia via The Strait of Georgia

Through my attendance at information meetings and community forums organized by concerned local citizens to inform the residents of the Sunshine Coast of proposals to increase the transportation of coal through The Strait of Georgia, I have learned of this venue for sharing my thoughts. Thank you for the opportunity to have some input. British Columbians should have a say about whether our communities become the railway for U.S. thermal coal to China rather than allowing Port Metro Vancouver — an unelected board to make the decision for us. Despite widespread concerns over the health and environmental impacts of shipping coal in uncovered rail cars and barges, and notwithstanding the climate change implications of burning coal, it is my understanding that this plan is scheduled to move forward without a thorough environmental assessment which would be inexcusable. What evidence is there to ensure that the coal dust will not have adverse affects on the quality of our air and water especially over a prolonged period of time? What guarantees can be made that our precious glass sponge reef situated off the coast of Sechart will not be harmed if freighter traffic in this fragile area increases? What impacts will the transportation of more coal have on the neighbouring First Nations economies who rely on the waters and shorelines of the Georgia Strait for their livelihood? Aside from my concerns about our health and local environment, I question the ethics behind the ongoing shipping of coal and ask is it not time we also take some responsibility for what happens to the coal after it reaches its final destination? Many parts of the world, including B.C., have stopped burning coal to create electricity because it is widely recognized as the dirtiest form of energy on the planet — plus there are readily available alternatives to create electricity, such as hydro and wind power. While B.C. doesn't burn coal, our province has long been an exporter of coal. In recent years, port terminals in the Lower Mainland have added new capacity to ship U.S.-mined thermal coal to Asia where it is used in coal-burning power plants, shortening life expectancy for millions of people and contributing to global warming, ocean acidification and mercury emissions. Chief medical officers, residents and neighbourhood associations have raised concerns about both the health and global warming risks of coal export expansion. In July 2013, the World Bank announced it would stop financing the construction of coal-fired power plants in developing countries due to global warming impacts. I understand that coal companies are desperate to get their product to Asian

Message

markets before it's too late but please, do your due diligence and conduct a thorough environmental assessment before developing more port facilities on our coast before it's too late for us. From my limited perspective, the risks far outweigh the benefits.

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 5, 2013 4:47 pm

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.0) AppleWebKit/534.24 (KHTML, like Gecko)
Chrome/11.0.696.71 Safari/534.24

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From: webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org [<mailto:webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org>] On Behalf
Of Sent: December-05-13 4:52 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Tim Blair, Senior Planner
Port Metro Vancouver
100 The Pointe, 999 Canada Place
Vancouver, BC V6C 3T4

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC
Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

Aside from the comments listed above, I feel that bigger is not necessarily better: these enormous projects are getting out of control and are for short term gain, with detrimental impact on the land and people in the meantime.

ARE YOU TRYING TO WIPE OUT LIFE ON EARTH?

Please can we return to some sanity

Vancouver, BC

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at salishseaction.org

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: coal tankers in Salish Sea

From:
Sent: December-05-13 5:24 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: coal tankers in Salish Sea

Name
Email
Subject coal tankers in Salish Sea
Message I live on beautiful Gabriola Island and have been here 35 years. I can go to the edge of the island and see clear and clean water. If the coal tankers are allowed to load at Texada Island and come past the Gulf Islands it will totally destroy the fish, birds and the shores. I also feel sorrow for the people of Surrey for having the tankers near their homes.
Site <http://realporthearings.org>
Date/Time: December 5, 2013 5:23 pm
Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126
Using (user agent): Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 8.0; Windows NT 5.1; Trident/4.0; .NET CLR 2.0.50727; .NET CLR 3.0.04506.648; .NET CLR 3.5.21022)

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Sent: December-05-13 6:00 PM

To: FSD-EIA

Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;
MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; h1th.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca

Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Dear Delta Council,

it is bad enough that the Federal Gvmt. is disposing of our country wholesale, and the Province is allowing our environment to be auctioned.

Please help stop the TOTAL primacy of big business (lower case intentional) over all aspects of our lives.

Sincerely,

Delta

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Sent: December-05-13 6:07 PM

To: FSD-EIA

Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;

MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca

Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Dear Delta Council,

please stop !!

I'm sick and tired of witnessing the disposal of our environment for the benefit of all sorts of businesses.

Being a politician means less now than almost anything in terms of decency, honour, love of country, etc.

Because we can all see that you are all corrupted to the core by the money that corporations make available to you so freely.

I haven't voted in years because of this.

Please surprise me and stop this dreadful coal terminal.

TTD.

Sincerely,

Delta

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From: webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org [<mailto:webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org>] On Behalf
Of Sent: December-05-13 6:17 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Tim Blair, Senior Planner
Port Metro Vancouver
100 The Pointe, 999 Canada Place
Vancouver, BC V6C 3T4

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC
Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

I deserve a full independent hearing on the proposed Fraser Surrey Docks coal facility, as has been afforded to citizens of Washington State by regulators assessing coal terminals this year.

I deserve a comprehensive health impact assessment of the proposed Fraser Surrey Docks coal facility, as publicly recommended by Health Authority officials.

I am concerned about the climate impacts currently being experienced in Canada and throughout the world, which are exacerbated by the export and consumption of fossil fuels.

I urge you to deny Fraser Surrey Docks a permit for its proposed coal facility, and to start taking responsibility for the climate damage that will result from the growing export of fossil fuels from Metro Vancouver ports.

Coquitlam, V

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at salishseaaction.org

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From: webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org [<mailto:webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org>] On Behalf
Of Sent: December-05-13 6:17 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Tim Blair, Senior Planner
Port Metro Vancouver
100 The Pointe, 999 Canada Place
Vancouver, BC V6C 3T4

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC
Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

I deserve a full independent hearing on the proposed Fraser Surrey Docks coal facility, as has been afforded to citizens of Washington State by regulators assessing coal terminals this year.

I deserve a comprehensive health impact assessment of the proposed Fraser Surrey Docks coal facility, as publicly recommended by Health Authority officials.

I am concerned about the climate impacts currently being experienced in Canada and throughout the world, which are exacerbated by the export and consumption of fossil fuels.

I urge you to deny Fraser Surrey Docks a permit for its proposed coal facility, and to start taking responsibility for the climate damage that will result from the growing export of fossil fuels from Metro Vancouver ports.

Coquitlam

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at salishseaaction.org

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From: webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org [<mailto:webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org>] On Behalf
Of Sent: December-05-13 6:23 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Tim Blair, Senior Planner
Port Metro Vancouver
100 The Pointe, 999 Canada Place
Vancouver, BC V6C 3T4

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC
Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

I know that shipments of coal through Canada have been necessitated by the refusal of US
docks to ship it. This coal is the greatest contributor to climate change and should be left
in the ground for all our sakes.
Stop adding to the GHG disaster before it is too late - do not allow these shipments!

Salt Spring Island, British Columbia

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at
salishseaaction.org

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Sent: December-05-13 6:17 PM

To: FSD-EIA

Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;

MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca

Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Dear Delta Council,

I am only 13, but I understand some issues.

Unfortunately, I'll be saddled with your decisions, which have always put commercial interests ahead of Supernatural British Columbia.

I hold on to a trace of hope that you will reconsider issuing a permit to for the Fraser Surrey Docks application.

Emma

Sincerely,

Delta

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Sent: December-05-13 6:19 PM

To: FSD-EIA

Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;
MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca

Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Dear Delta Council,

What shame it is that such an important matter be in the hands of politicians !

Please listen to your conscience and vote against issuing a permit to for the Fraser Surrey Docks application.

Sincerely,

Delta

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Exports through Fraser Surrey Docks

Sent: December-05-13 6:29 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Coal Exports through Fraser Surrey Docks

Name

Email

Subject

Coal Exports through Fraser Surrey Docks

Message

Dear Sir/Madam, There are enough health professionals and scientists speaking out on the dangers of this proposed project, for me to believe the project should be rejected. From what I have heard, only the danger of coal dust in the air appears to have been somewhat addressed. What about all the extra diesel fumes that will be spewed into the air from increased rail traffic and the noise associated with that traffic? It would also mean more tankers visiting the docks with their associated fumes and risk of fuel spills. Those are just a few of the damaging elements this project will bring with it. As a concerned citizen I have to say "No" to the project. As a taxpayer, I believe the health and environmental costs associated with the project will exceed any revenue it might generate. Sincerely,

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 5, 2013 6:29 pm

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.1; WOW64; Trident/7.0; rv:11.0) like Gecko

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From: webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org [<mailto:webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org>] On Behalf
Of Sent: December-05-13 6:51 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Tim Blair, Senior Planner
Port Metro Vancouver
100 The Pointe, 999 Canada Place
Vancouver, BC V6C 3T4

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC
Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

Please deny this application. Climate change is already happening and facilitating more is
the worst thing we can do.
Vancouver, Bc

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at
salishseaaction.org

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From: webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org [<mailto:webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org>] On Behalf Of

Sent: December-05-13 6:51 PM

To: FSD-EIA

Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Tim Blair, Senior Planner
Port Metro Vancouver
100 The Pointe, 999 Canada Place
Vancouver, BC V6C 3T4

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

As a citizen of the Lower Mainland i am very concerned about this project for health and environmental reasons.If any of you have children or grandchildren

do you really want their future compromised by the energy,gas and oil industry!!!What will happen if we rely on building pipelines and relying on polluting industries like coal and oil.The only people who benefit are the companies involved.Please have the courage to stop this madness because this is not about the economy and jobs,it is all about money

port coquitlam, b c

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at salishseaaction.org

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From: webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org [<mailto:webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org>] On Behalf Of
Sent: December-05-13 7:20 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Tim Blair, Senior Planner
Port Metro Vancouver
100 The Pointe, 999 Canada Place
Vancouver, BC V6C 3T4

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

I deserve a full independent hearing on the proposed Fraser Surrey Docks coal facility, as has been afforded to citizens of Washington State by regulators assessing coal terminals this year.

I deserve a comprehensive health impact assessment of the proposed Fraser Surrey Docks coal facility, as publicly recommended by Health Authority officials.

I am concerned about the climate impacts currently being experienced in Canada and throughout the world, which are exacerbated by the export and consumption of fossil fuels.

I urge you to deny Fraser Surrey Docks a permit for its proposed coal facility, and to start taking responsibility for the climate damage that will result from the growing export of fossil fuels from Metro Vancouver ports.

Salt Spring Island, BC

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at salishseaaction.org

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Sent: December-05-13 7:49 PM

To: minister@ec.gc.ca; minister@tc.gc.ca; mem.minister@gov.bc.ca; Env.Minister@gov.bc.ca; FSD-EIA

Subject: Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Re: Port Metro Vancouver Project Update: Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Dear Directors of Port Metro Vancouver and Honourable Federal and Provincial Ministers,

As a resident of Texada Island living close to the LaFarge quarry, I am disappointed and alarmed to read of the minimal scope of the results of the SNC Lavalin "environmental impact assessment" performed for Fraser Surrey Docks.

Of particular alarm, this assessment fails to take into consideration potential health and environmental hazards as a result of the shipping and storage of this dirty thermal coal to Texada Island.

The Lavilin assessment has failed to address the huge issues of transportation of dusty thermal coal from Fraser Surrey Docks, up the Georgia Strait and the storage of huge quantities of this coal on Texada Island.

You should be aware that LaFarge has a long history here on Texada island of being negligent in regards to cleaning up or preventing toxins in our drinking waters in Priest Lake, which is Van Anda's watershed. We know that Lafarge is lax in enforcing health and safety regulations for their own employees. We can expect the same careless attitude for the residents of Texada Island.

I strongly request you conduct a more thorough examination of the inherent risks this coal proposal through independent **federal and provincial environmental and health impact assessments.**

Sincerely,

Van Anda, BC

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Sent: December-05-13 7:51 PM
To: Port Metro Vancouver Public Affairs; minister@ec.gc.ca; minister@tc.gc.ca; mem.minister@gov.bc.ca; Env.Minister@gov.bc.ca; FSD-EIA
Subject: Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Re: Port Metro Vancouver Project Update: Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Dear Directors of Port Metro Vancouver and Honourable Federal and Provincial Ministers,

As a resident of Texada Island living close to the LaFarge quarry, I am disappointed and alarmed to read of the minimal scope of the results of the SNC Lavalin "environmental impact assessment" performed for Fraser Surrey Docks.

Of particular alarm, this assessment fails to take into consideration potential health and environmental hazards as a result of the shipping and storage of this dirty thermal coal to Texada Island.

The Lavilin assessment has failed to address the huge issues of transportation of dusty thermal coal from Fraser Surrey Docks, up the Georgia Strait and the storage of huge quantities of this coal on Texada Island.

You should be aware that LaFarge has a long history here on Texada island of being negligent in regards to cleaning up or preventing toxins in our drinking waters in Priest Lake, which is Van Anda's watershed. We know that LaFarge is lax in enforcing health and safety regulations for their own employees. We can expect the same careless attitude for the residents of Texada Island.

I strongly request you conduct a more thorough examination of the inherent risks this coal proposal through independent **federal and provincial environmental and health impact assessments**.

Sincerely,
Van Anda, BC

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Fraser Surrey Docks: Proposed Coal shipments, storage and export

Sent: December-05-13 8:16 PM

To: minister@ec.gc.ca; minister@tc.gc.ca; mem.minister@gov.bc.ca; Env.Minister@gov.bc.ca

Cc: nicholas.simons.mla@leg.bc.ca; FSD-EIA

Subject: Fraser Surrey Docks: Proposed Coal shipments, storage and export

"If it is wrong to wreck the climate, then it is wrong to profit from that wreckage."

- from the mission statement of the fossil free movement

The climate crisis is the defining issue of our times, economically, socially and ethically. The decisions we make now will have far reaching implications for ourselves and future generations. Decisions therefore involving thermal coal and its inherent carbon emissions need to be made with careful deliberation, mindful of the type of future we wish ourselves and our children to live in.

Seen through such a lense, the merits of transporting dirty thermal coal from the USA through Fraser Surrey Docks, up the Georgia Strait to be stored on Texada Island until it is exported east appears ill advised.

Fundamentally, this is a moral issue of what is right and what is wrong.

Thermal coal is one of the biggest contributors to climate change.

Should companies operating in BC be involved in this questionable activity during the world's climate crisis?

Which side of morality will the BC government stand on?

Our values should not take a back stand to jobs and growth.

We urge you to consider the profound consequences of your decision for all our futures.

Sincerely,
Van Anda, BC

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From: webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org [<mailto:webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org>] On Behalf Of
Sent: December-05-13 10:38 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Tim Blair, Senior Planner
Port Metro Vancouver
100 The Pointe, 999 Canada Place
Vancouver, BC V6C 3T4

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

Dear Mr. Blair,

I am very opposed to more coal being shipped out of Metro Vancouver ports. I see no benefits to the immediate local citizens in Surrey who will no doubt be left dealing with the added pollution. Even when looking at larger community (metro Vancouver) who stand to lose as well should a spill or other such disaster should happen.

Apart from a few jobs offered to locals, there is nothing for Greater Vancouver residents to gain except for taking on environmental risk. And I am very dubious about who would end up paying for any long term damage to the environment. In such cases it has always been the public who pays dearly for clean-up and remediation while corporations simply wash their hands (and not much else) and walk away.

As a resident living in Vancouver I deserve a full independent hearing on the proposed Fraser Surrey Docks coal facility, as has been afforded to citizens of Washington State by regulators assessing coal terminals this year.

I also deserve a comprehensive health impact assessment of the proposed Fraser Surrey Docks coal facility, as publicly recommended by Health Authority officials.

I am concerned about the climate impacts currently being experienced in Canada and throughout the world, which are exacerbated by the export and consumption of fossil fuels.

I urge you to deny Fraser Surrey Docks a permit for its proposed coal facility, and to start taking responsibility for the climate damage that will result from the growing export of fossil fuels from Metro Vancouver ports.

Vancouver, BC

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at salishseaaction.org

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: December-06-13 12:27 AM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

It is essential that there be a full independent hearing on the proposed facility. There are legitimate concerns about the health and safety of the public. Furthermore, there will be deleterious impacts which will further exacerbate climate change. Metro Vancouver ports should not be exporting fossil fuels, given what we know about their numerous harmful consequences. Please deny Fraser Surrey Docks their desired permit, for the sake of the local and international good.

Vancouver, B.C.

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at salishseaaction.org

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: December-06-13 9:56 AM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

Why would we allow coal shipments in Canada when several US states have banned them? It is not good for our health and exacerbates climate change.

Vancouver, B.C.

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at salishseaction.org

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Export Environmental Impact Assessment

From:
Sent: December-06-13 10:28 AM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Re: Coal Export Environmental Impact Assessment

Subject Re: Coal Export Environmental Impact Assessment

Message

I think that the Port Authority's assessment of the risks of coal export expansion is fundamentally flawed and should be scrapped. They need to start over. I call on the Port to put a moratorium on coal export expansion and commit to working with key stakeholders to conduct proper health and environmental impact assessments. In my view, these new assessments must include the following features: • they must involve key stakeholders like our Health Authorities from the start, including determining the scope and terms of reference; • they must include an assessment of the risks of the proposed coal export expansion at Neptune Terminals; • they must consider all local impacts from the time the coal enters our region by rail to the time it enters international waters by ocean going vessel; • they must consider climate change impacts of the end use of that coal; • they must be open and transparent and incorporate public hearings. If the port cannot (or will not) properly assess the potential impacts of coal exports, it should deny the Fraser Surrey Docks permit and suspend any further expansion of coal exports.

Vancouver

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Surrey port and coal shipments

From:
Sent: December-06-13 11:19 AM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; [info@realporthearings.org](http://realporthearings.org)
Subject: Surrey port and coal shipments

Subject Surrey port and coal shipments
Message Why the port authority thinks bringing more forms of pollution into a highly populated area is a good idea is beyond comprehension. We need to ban pollution not contribute to it.
Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

From:
Sent: December-06-13 12:32 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;
MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca
Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Please listen to the concerns of the chief medical officers regarding adverse health effects from coal exports. Health matters to me. Healthcare costs continue to skyrocket and we cannot afford more people to get sick while a few people profit. We need a clean environment for us all to survive. Please conduct public hearings so that everyone's voice can be heard.

Sincerely,
Port Moody

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: FRASER SURREY DOCKS "ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT"

From:
Sent: December-06-13 1:02 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: FRASER SURREY DOCKS "ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT"

Subject FRASER SURREY DOCKS "ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT ASSESSMENT"

Message I submit that the environmental assessment of the Fraser Surrey Docks plan to ship US thermal coal is deeply flawed. How can any responsible E.A. be conducted without an assessment of the potential health risks to the residents of the areas through which the coal trains will travel? this definitely includes the neighboring residents of this Port. I do not know why you have the authority to approve or reject a proposal of what is essentially a part of Port Metro Vancouver. There is a clear conflict of interest here.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Fraser Surrey Coal Dock

From:
Sent: December-06-13 1:20 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Fraser Surrey Coal Dock

Subject Fraser Surrey Coal Dock

Message If this coal dock is approved as I fear it will be despite the massive public objection to it, maybe a class action lawsuit should be considered. After all, why do I get the feeling you folks at Port Metro Vancouver are paying no attention to our howls of protest. This insanity must be stopped or those responsible held to account.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Due process expected from VPA

From:
Sent: December-06-13 1:26 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Due process expected from VPA

Subject Due process expected from VPA

The environmental review of the FSD's coal transfer proposal that the VPA has initiated is flawed on every front: 1. Public input has not been solicited - in contrast to the open dialogue that the state of Washington has encouraged to consider the same proposal to ship coal from Wyoming. Moreover, the insights and advice from Metro Health authorities and other experts in the fields of environmental and health sciences have mostly been brushed aside. The expansion of the FSD to facilitate the movement of thermal coal has massive implications for the health and quality of life of humans, fish and animal species, and the earth as a whole as we consider climate change - and the abrogation of the public's voice in the assessment process is completely unacceptable. 2. The scope of the EIA is in no way adequate to analyze all the possible consequences of the movement of thermal coal by train through Metro Vancouver, then by barge to Texada Island, followed by its shipment to Asian markets. Research (as cited by the Fraser Riverkeeper's letter of December 3, 2013 and the Fraser Health submission of November 13, 2013) shows that large amounts of coal dust from trains and barges will settle on land and in both the Fraser River and the ocean. Toxic substances that are found in thermal coal, such as arsenic, cadmium, and PAHs, will also find their way into the waters around Fraser Docks where they will be absorbed by salmon, herring, and orcas. Human health has also not been adequately addressed by the EIA in terms of the range of populations to be affected by the movement of coal through Metro Vancouver. Finally, the VPA dismissed the need to consider climate change in the EIA - an appalling denial of Canada's responsibility to account for its actions in contributing to global CO2 levels. The VPA and the federal and provincial governments have a responsibility to ensure that a thorough - and impartial - environmental assessment of the FSD application is completed. The federal government, in particular, should be playing a role in this process, as it is required by law to protect the habitat of species at risk, such as the orcas. Failure to take these responsibilities seriously will have profound consequences - I, and others, expect better of our elected representatives and those they have appointed to manage the Port of Vancouver.

Message

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Export

From:
Sent: December-06-13 1:50 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Coal Export

Subject Coal Export

Message We all know that burning Coal pollutes our atmosphere and significantly contributes to climate change. Why are we doing this. All about money greed and power. No consideration for the future generations. BAD IDEA!!!!

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Port Expansion

From:
Sent: December-06-13 1:54 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Coal Port Expansion

Subject Coal Port Expansion

Message Why would we want to fuel the Coal burning countries of this world. Have you been to China? They have no plan to reduce the detrimental affect of fossil fuels, especially Coal. Harper and Clark need to give their heads a shake.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

From:
Sent: December-06-13 2:26 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;
MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca
Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

I think Dogwood is doing a very good job of clouding the issues here and blowing the issue out of proportion. Calling this project massive and trying to pretend there are health issues to the general public when our members that have been working very closely with coal for many years have no health issues are just two examples.

Sincerely,
Vancouver

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Port Hearings

From:
Sent: December-06-13 3:10 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Port Hearings

Subject Port Hearings

Message Local impacts should include past history of fresh water pollution on Texada Island and the possible contamination of aquaculture in the region. We also need to know the liability of the foreign owned tankers that transport coal from Texada to foreign ports.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From: Sent: December-06-13 3:24 PM

To: FSD-EIA

Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

- I deserve a full independent hearing on the proposed Fraser Surrey Docks coal facility, as has been afforded to citizens of Washington State by regulators assessing coal terminals this year.
- I deserve a comprehensive health impact assessment of the proposed Fraser Surrey Docks coal facility, as publicly recommended by Health Authority officials.
- I am concerned about the climate impacts currently being experienced in Canada and throughout the world, which are exacerbated by the export and consumption of fossil fuels.
- I urge you to deny Fraser Surrey Docks a permit for its proposed coal facility, and to start taking responsibility for the climate damage that will result from the growing export of fossil fuels from Metro Vancouver ports.

vancouver, bc

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at salishseaaction.org

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Feedback re Fraser Surrey Docks Project

From:
Sent: December-06-13 3:32 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Feedback re Fraser Surrey Docks Project

Dear Sir/Madam,

I would like to provide my feedback regarding the proposed coal facility at Fraser Surrey Docks. I was born in Vancouver, have lived here my whole life and do not intend to move as I believe this truly is the best place in the world. I value the nature that surrounds us but also realize that our need to support and protect our surroundings is directly tied to our ability to live and work here and pay taxes used to support it. We obviously need balance in planning the economic future of the region but too often people are short-sighted – we can not simply live in a national park that covers this province. I believe Fraser Surrey Docks has been very proactive in proposing features (e.g., covered cars, additive to limit blowing coal dust, covered facility, ground level belly-dump rail cars, etc.) that will limit harm to the environment and the people that live in the region and thus the off-setting benefits of the increase in good paying jobs and the support of the broader Gateway concept should be supported. Unfortunately the media do not often provide balance in their coverage of developments like this one as they are trying to “sell controversy” and the general population is often unduly influenced by their coverage, so regulators need to step in and make the big decisions. I also struggle with the NIMBY’s – if you chose to live near an industrial area on the river or beside a rail line, you must accept that and do not expect us to turn your area into a park. I live near Metrotown in Burnaby and I accept the traffic and noise that the mall brings and I do not expect them to tear it down for my benefit. I hope that you see the balance in this proposal and make what might be a tough decision to approve the facility and hopefully reinforce to everyone the positive attributes that they may not be aware of. Thanks for the opportunity to provide my feedback.

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: coal terminal

From:
Sent: December-06-13 3:46 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; [info@realporhearings.org](http://realporhearings.org)
Subject: coal terminal

Subject coal terminal
Message stop it!
Site <http://realporhearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

From:
Sent: December-06-13 4:34 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;
MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca
Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

I oppose the expansion of coal exports from Fraser Surrey Docks.

Coal storage and transportation has negative health effects. Burning coal contributes to greenhouse gas emissions. We need to transition the economy to green energy.

Sincerely,
Vancouver

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Export EIA

From:
Sent: December-06-13 4:56 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Coal Export EIA

Subject Coal Export EIA

Message

We think that the Port Authority's assessment of the risks of coal export expansion is fundamentally flawed and should be scrapped. They need to start over. We call on the Port to put a moratorium on coal export expansion and commit to working with key stakeholders to conduct proper health and environmental impact assessments. In our view, these new assessments must include the following features: they must involve key stakeholders like our Health Authorities from the start, including determining the scope and terms of reference; they must include an assessment of the risks of the proposed coal export expansion at Neptune Terminals; they must consider all local impacts from the time the coal enters our region by rail to the time it enters international waters by ocean going vessel; they must consider climate change impacts of the end use of that coal; they must be open and transparent and incorporate public hearings. If the port cannot or will not properly assess the potential impacts of coal exports, it should deny the Fraser Surrey Docks permit and suspend any further expansion of coal exports.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: December-06-13 4:57 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

It is long past the time for dirty fuels to be pursued as reasonable energy policies. The last thing we need is another coal facility.

You haven't even done the independent hearing or health impact assessment that used to be standard procedure. If you try to push this through without public input, I can only imagine that you'll receive the public protest that is steadily rising in these times of corporate desperation to increase dirty fuel projects.

What matters is safeguarding the health of our people and planet before we manage to bring about our own ecocide.

Sincerely yours,

Vancouver, BC

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at salishseaaction.org

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Port Authority's Assessment Concerns

From:
Sent: December-06-13 5:03 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporhearings.org
Subject: Port Authority's Assessment Concerns

Subject Port Authority's Assessment Concerns

Message The Port Authority should include the affect to the fraser river, more detailed affect to health to the humans, they should include the health authorities to do the Health assessment based on the technologies they are using to stop the Coal air spreading in the air. I would say we should not even bother about US coal, they have such a big coast line why they want to ship it from BC. We should be more worried about the human health rather than exporting the US coal, because health comes first and our politicians should keep that in mind. Thanks

Site <http://realporhearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: FSD EIA by Port Metro Van

From:
Sent: December-06-13 5:09 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: FSD EIA by Port Metro Van

Subject FSD EIA by Port Metro Van

Dear sirs I do not believe you have done sufficient research for the EIA you have submitted There are so many environmental and health experts saying so I have to believe them. I am not an expert myself but it stands to reason coal dust and diesel fumes in the air here and smog in China because of the final use of the coal cannot be but detrimental to our health and environment. You must look at the entire picture not just mitigation of coal dust.

Message Please start over and do a proper thorough EIA taking into consideration our locals health our environments health and the Chinese peoples health. If we don't ship it then then cannot burn it.

yours sincerely

Surrey

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: EIA fundamentally flawed

From:
Sent: December-06-13 5:10 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; [info@realporthearings.org](http://realporthearings.org)
Subject: EIA fundamentally flawed

Subject EIA fundamentally flawed

Message Dear Port Authority, Please put a moratorium on coal export expansion and commit to working with key stakeholders to conduct proper health and environmental impact assessments that include our Health Authorities to determine the scope and terms of reference for an unbiased EIA.

Sincerely,

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: December-06-13 5:35 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

I think that people such as me deserve a full independent hearing on the proposed Fraser Surrey Docks coal facility. This courtesy has been afforded to citizens of Washington State by regulators assessing coal terminals this year.

We deserve a comprehensive health impact assessment of the proposed Fraser Surrey Docks coal facility, as publicly recommended by Health Authority officials.

The climate impacts currently being experienced in Canada and throughout the world will be exacerbated by the export and consumption of fossil fuels.

I urge you to deny Fraser Surrey Docks a permit for its proposed coal facility, and to start taking responsibility for the climate damage that will result from the growing export of fossil fuels from Metro Vancouver ports.

Victoria, BC

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at salishseaaction.org

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: December-06-13 5:56 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

Please deny Fraser Surrey Docks a permit for its proposed coal facility.
Let's use green energy like wind and solar.

Thank you and have a nice day,
surrey, bc

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at salishseaaction.org

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: authentic consultation and assessment of coal shipments

From:
Sent: December-06-13 6:11 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: authentic consultation and assessment of coal shipments

Subject authentic consultation and assessment of coal shipments
Attn Port Authority it is pretty obvious that this is a hugely important issue that requires serious analysis and consultation and not ram this through like belligerent bullies. please respect the people who live here and the natural environment and make a serious and careful effort to make this work without harshly adverse effects on the environment

Message

sincerely

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: coal transporting

From:
Sent: December-06-13 6:12 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: coal transporting

Subject coal transporting

Message Why are we even using the word "coal"? Ontario has banned the use of it. It is hugely polluting. Get with it, man! Where have you been? There is a problem developing on this planet and we are all trying to solve it, for God's sake!!!

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Proposed Coal Export Plan

From:
Sent: December-06-13 6:17 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: RE: Proposed Coal Export Plan

Subject RE: Proposed Coal Export Plan

Message I would like to voice my opposition to plans of expanded coal export capacity in the greater Vancouver region. Our air quality and health are my primary concerns. These have not been addressed, and frankly, cannot be quelled in a region known for its clean air and health-minded population. Coal dust is simply bad. This is why this proposal was rejected in WA and OR. Increased profits for a few is not worth the health of the masses. Please act accordingly.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: coal

From:
Sent: December-06-13 6:40 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: coal

Subject coal

Message to ignore any of the facts when making your assessment is just lying to yourself. that is truly a mark of irrationality. listen to the insurance companies - they believe in climate change. why don't you? while you stay focussed on short sighted polluting technologies you are missing the opportunities to be made by putting you attention of sustainable technologies. they are being developed. the question is: do you want to be ahead of the curve or behind it.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: expansion of coal from US

From:
Sent: December-06-13 6:46 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: expansion of coal from US

Subject expansion of coal from US

Message we do not want dirty fuel continuing, get on the band wagon for new safe sustainable resources or we are finished on this planet at the rate we are polluting it.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal export EIA

From:
Sent: December-06-13 6:53 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Coal export EIA

Subject Coal export EIA

Message Dear Sir/Madam, I write to express my concern with the Port Authority's current process for the assessment of risks associated with coal export expansion. I urge you to be responsive to public concerns about this process, put a moratorium on coal export expansion, and formulate a new process that will take health and environmental impacts fully into consideration. Regards,

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: the need for a new Coal Export EIA

From:
Sent: December-06-13 7:21 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: the need for a new Coal Export EIA

Subject the need for a new Coal Export EIA

Message I will not write endless comments quoting those who represent a broad range of experts and whose job it is to analyze the Coal Export EIA, an EIA that apparently has too many shortcomings to be acceptable. I will only say, again, that it is apparent that a new INDEPENDENT EIA needs to be done. As well, thus far, a sense of democracy, that is, a sense that all "stakeholders" are being considered, is absent. The Port Authority should put a moratorium on coal export expansion and commit to working with key stakeholders to conduct proper health and environmental impact assessments.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal dust

From:
Sent: December-06-13 7:24 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; [info@realporthearings.org](http://realporthearings.org)
Subject: Coal dust

Subject Coal dust

Message Storage and transport of US coal through the Fraser Surrey Docks will result in an unacceptable level of air borne particulates into the community of North Delta. I am strongly opposed to this project.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: feedback on surrey coal port

-----Original Message-----

From:
Sent: December-06-13 7:59 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: feedback on surrey coal port

Hello,

I do not believe this plan to place a coal facility this far up the Fraser to be well thought out, or commercially viable.

I suggest that the Roberts Bank / Westshore Terminals should be expanded to handle the extra port and ships.

- 1) the coal dust suppression system on the island works, and has had no complaints since it was built.
- 2) The government has spent 7 million to upgrade and expand the tracks to Roberts Bank. Your corporation would have to pay to duplicate that track expansion, and add new track in Surrey.
- 3) The coal trains would use the very same route to get to your port as well as Roberts bank, so what's the point of having two?
- 4) Roberts bank allows for deeper drafts and larger ships, even after the Fraser is dredged.
- 5) Roberts bank does not need daily dredging. The Fraser Titan (the ship that dredges the Fraser River) and the ship built to replace it would only add costs your operation. It takes all day of dredging just to maintain the current 35 foot depth of the current river passage.

Note:

- a) The Fraser Titan is over 60 year old now and would not be capable of daily dredging to deepen the river depth by 30 feet.
- b) There are the sewage lines from the city of Richmond that protrude into the middle of the channel to discharge toilet waste. The reason for these to be placed there is because at that depth, the ocean water is on the bottom of the channel, containing the sewage, while the non salty Fraser water travels ovetop containing the sewage so it goes out to sea. Your corporation would be responsible for any changes to that system, and if the changes you want would change the behavior of the sewage routes to the sea, and that it does not return to land.

Richmond, B.C.

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: coal

From:
Sent: December-06-13 8:00 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; [info@realporthearings.org](http://realporthearings.org)
Subject: coal

Subject coal
Message globally we cannot condone it.Please say no.
Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

From:
Sent: December-06-13 8:03 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

Subject Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

The Port Authority's assessment of the risks of coal export expansion is fundamentally flawed and should be scrapped. This process needs to start over. I call on the Port to put a moratorium on coal export expansion and commit to working with key stakeholders to conduct proper health and environmental impact assessments. In my view, these new assessments must include the following features: • they must involve key stakeholders like our Health Authorities from the start, including determining the scope and terms of reference; • they must include an assessment of the risks of the proposed coal export expansion at Neptune Terminals; • they must consider all local impacts from the time the coal enters our region by rail to the time it enters international waters by ocean going vessel; • they must consider climate change impacts of the end use of that coal; • they must be open and transparent and incorporate public hearings. If the Port cannot or will not properly assess the potential impacts of coal exports, it should deny the Fraser Surrey Docks permit and suspend any further expansion of coal exports.

Message

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: The Port Authority needs to scrap and restart its EIA of the Fraser Surrey Docks coal export terminal.

From:
Sent: December-06-13 8:17 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: The Port Authority needs to scrap and restart its EIA of the Fraser Surrey Docks coal export terminal.

Subject The Port Authority needs to scrap and restart its EIA of the Fraser Surrey Docks coal export terminal.

Message It is clear that Port Metro Vancouver has not worked with key stakeholders to make proper health and environmental impact assessments. I have great concern for impacts to human health in nearby communities and the Fraser River ecosystem, which includes wild salmon and migratory birds, and this has not been properly addressed. Further, it is irresponsible to continue building new infrastructure for the transport of fossil fuels. Coal, in particular, is the fossil fuel with the greatest global warming potential. As a scientist, I know that to avoid the worst of climate disasters we must discontinue 65% of the world's coal plants by 2020. Building new coal ports flies in the face of sustainability efforts. Given that Port Metro Vancouver has failed to properly assess the potential impacts of coal exports, the Fraser Surrey Docks permit should be denied and a moratorium should be imposed on any further expansion of coal exports in Metro Vancouver.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Export

From:
Sent: December-06-13 8:29 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Coal Export

Subject Coal Export

Message We call on the Port to put a moratorium on coal export expansion, and commit to working with key stakeholders to conduct proper health and environmental impact assessments on fisheries, aquatic toxicology, climate change and public health risks.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Consider the impacts of Neptune Terminals expansion

From:
Sent: December-06-13 8:57 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Consider the impacts of Neptune Terminals expansion

Subject Consider the impacts of Neptune Terminals expansion

Message All environmental issues should be considered. From extraction of the natural resources, to transporting to Neptune Terminals, to expanding Neptune Terminals, to transporting to the overseas location and finally to burning the natural resources. I don't want to sound like some lunatic hippy, but we really don't have to use all our natural resources as quickly as possible, we can try and preserve and be resourceful. It is but a dream.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: comments on coal export EIA

From:
Sent: December-06-13 9:32 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: comments on coal export EIA

Subject comments on coal export EIA

Message

December 7, 2013 Vancouver Fraser Port Authority Project Review Committee Dear Sir/Madam, RE: October 24 2013 draft of the Fraser Surrey Docks EIA With regard to the proposed major coal export expansion in British Columbia it is essential that the health impacts of the development are fully considered before any permission is given for projects to proceed. As documented by Doctors for the Environment Australia, there is a well-researched and internationally accepted protocol available for the assessment of health impacts of developments (health impact assessment of HIA). When it is adequately resourced, independent and undertaken in the planning stage before any permission to proceed is given it can provide reliable data of the positive and negative health implications on which to base informed decisions. The health impact assessment must look at the cumulative health effects of the total project. The long term health costs must be included in the HIA otherwise any economic assessment of the project would be flawed and incomplete. With coal development the HIA is crucial. The health of neighbouring communities is impacted at every stage of coal processing. So men, women and children, who live, work, learn or play in proximity to coal mines, coal washing facilities, coal loading facilities, coal train lines, coal ports or coal fired power stations are at risk. Despite the recent trend of economic decision makers to ignore the health impacts of coal, there has been awareness of the dangers for hundreds of years. In 1306 London first regulated air pollution from burning coal. Coal mining has been recognized as an occupational lung disease for decades with the resultant disease been variously known as pneumoconiosis, black lung disease or coal miner's lung. The first modern clean air act eventuated following such a severe smog in London in December 1952 that 4000 people died as an immediate consequence with another 8000 having a lingering death. In more recent years a number of the air pollutants associated with coal mining and power generation have been identified which are injurious to human health. These include sulphur dioxide and nitrogen oxides which are strong respiratory irritants. Arsenic, mercury, fluorine, cadmium, lead, selenium and zinc enter the environment and can accumulate in the food chain. Volatile organic compounds are released by oxidation which is a natural consequence of the weathering of coal. These include toluene, benzene, xylene, trimethylbenzene, acrolein, acetone, methylethylketone and formaldehyde. In the short term these compounds can cause irritant symptoms; some have the potential to cause cancer. Of particular concern is particulate matter- PM 10 (less than 10 micron diameter), PM 2.5 (less than 2.5microns), PM 1 and smaller, nanoparticles so small they can't be seen. These tiny particles can penetrate deep into the lungs causing inflammation and damage. They can cross directly from the lungs

into the blood stream and from there to the heart brain and every major body organ. The World Health Organisation has recently classified particulate matter as carcinogenic and recognizes no safe level of particulate matter. In Australia Beyond Zero Emissions reviewed 50 peer reviewed studies on the health and social impacts of coal mining and combustion from around the world. In summary their findings were: Adults in coal mining communities have been found to have: • Higher rates of mortality from lung cancer and chronic heart, respiratory and kidney diseases. • Higher rates of cardiopulmonary disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and other lung diseases, hypertension, kidney disease, heart attack, stroke and asthma. • Increased probability of hospitalization for COPD (by 1% for each 1.462 tons of coal mined) and for hypertension (by 1% for each 1.873 tons of coal mined). Children and infants in coal mining communities have been found to have: • Increased respiratory symptoms including wheezing and coughing: increased absence from school due to respiratory symptoms. • A high prevalence of any birth defect, and a greater chance of being of low birth weight (which is a risk factor for future obesity, diabetes and heart disease). With particulate matter it is not just the long term average which is important from a health point of view. Immediate physiological changes can occur with peak exposures of short duration. In Australia in November 2013 Clean Air Queensland conducted a Community Air Quality Monitoring Study at Jondaryan Coal Stockpile which is situated 550 metres from the nearest home in Jondaryan. Quad trailer trucks bring coal from Acland coal mine and the coal is subsequently loaded onto uncovered coal trains. The Queensland air standard for PM10 is 50 micrograms /m3. At Jondaryan over the course of two days the researchers measured peak levels of PM10 greater than 6,000 micrograms/m3 with not uncommonly 1-2 peak events per hour. And remember, the World Health Organisation classifies particulate matter as carcinogenic and recognizes no safe level. The Jondaryan study demonstrates loading and unloading coal is a health hazard for the surrounding communities. Coal transport carries significant risks for families living along the train lines. From the BNSF (Burlington Northern Santa Fe Railway) study: • “reports have indicated that as much as 3% of the coal loaded into a coal car can be lost in transit.” • “the vast majority of coal dust that is deposited on the railroad right of way comes off the top of loaded coal cars.” There is a direct and immediate health risks at a local level to communities impacted by coal. There is also a major risk to our future health at a national and international level by an ongoing dependence on fossil fuels and a failure to use this time and opportunity to research and develop clean, sustainable, alternative energy sources. The health costs both of utilizing coal and of failure to develop clean energy resources in a timely manner needs to be factored into any economic decisions. Until the health risks associated with coal export expansion are properly assessed a moratorium is necessary. The process of assessment must be open and transparent, incorporating Public Meetings and with full involvement of local health authorities. The terms of reference must be inclusive of all local impacts throughout the region as well as the health impacts of the end use of the coal.

Murrumba Downs, Queensland, Australia.

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal

From:
Sent: December-06-13 9:47 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Coal

Subject Coal

Message Project Review Committee. Dear Members, A good review for the impact of call being moved through BC should include, the Health Authorities, nearby residents. I am very concerned about the impact of coal on our environment: the dust that will spread around the shipping facilities and the residue from burning coal. Coal is the dirtiest fuel on the planet. It need to stay in the ground.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Neptune Terminals expansion

From:
Sent: December-06-13 10:02 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; [info@realporthearings.org](http://realporthearings.org)
Subject: Neptune Terminals expansion

Subject Neptune Terminals expansion
Message Stop expanding the coal terminals which cause health problem to area so close to residential, and schools.
Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: CommenProposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

From:
Sent: December-06-13 10:02 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporhearings.org
Subject: CommenProposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

Subject CommenProposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

Message We need to put to put a moratorium on coal export expansion and commit to working with key stakeholders to conduct proper health and environmental impact assessments. In fact, let's scrap the plan altogether. We should not be supporting any fossil fuel development. Vancouver is experiencing the driest year in recorded history. Let's do something real to curb climate change instead!

Site <http://realporhearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal through Fraser

From:
Sent: December-06-13 10:15 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Coal through Fraser

Subject Coal through Fraser
Message Don't ship coal through the Fraser.
Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Export Terminal at Fraser Surrey Docks

From:
Sent: December-06-13 10:31 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca;
ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca;
Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Coal Export Terminal at Fraser Surrey Docks

Subject Coal Export Terminal at Fraser Surrey Docks

Message I am opposed to the proposed coal export terminal at Fraser Surrey Docks. At a time that the world needs to reduce its greenhouse gas emissions, this project will worsen the health of citizens around the world.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Flawed Environmental Assessment

From:
Sent: December-06-13 10:47 PM
To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org
Subject: Flawed Environmental Assessment

Subject Flawed Environmental Assessment

Message

To Port Metro Vancouver, I am writing to you in regards to the recently released Environmental Impact Assessment for the Fraser Surrey Docks Coal proposal, I am very angry at the lack of care and attention given to the human health impacts in the EIA report. I also do not understand why it was even necessary to point out that Port Metro Vancouver has full authority over the decision and does not answer to anyone! This fact is completely absurd, and is another huge issue that needs to be rectified. Another sore point is you allowing the EIA to be done by SNC-Lavalin, a company charged by the World Bank as being one of the most highly fraudulent companies in Canada, the report loses all credibility. In my opinion it is a very bad idea to allow another coal terminal to operate in the province of British Columbia when there are already 3 operating coal terminals. Keeping in mind that coal is the largest contributor to human made increase of CO2 in the atmosphere. Westshore terminal in Delta, alone boasts on their website of shipping a record 27.3 million tonnes of coal in 2011. We are already witnessing extreme weather patterns around the globe resulting from too much CO2 being released. At this point in time we should be scaling back exports not expanding them! Kudos to the province of Ontario for phasing out coal to become the first jurisdiction in North America to shut down almost all its entire coal fleet. The seriousness of this decision demands a full comprehensive Health and Environment Impact Assessment done by a trustworthy independent third party, and to include Dr. Van Buynder (Fraser Health Authority) and Dr. Patricia Daly (Vancouver Health) on the project. Also a formal public hearing process should be mandatory. The public does not support this proposal. Deny the permit to Fraser Surrey Docks. There is no moral difference in delivering the poison to the Asian people than being the one administering the poison!

Site

From the proposed coal dust zone :(
<http://realporthearings.org>

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal

Sent: December-07-13 6:25 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; h1th.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Coal

Name

Email

Subject Coal

Message

Let me add my voice to the thousands who are concerned about shipping coal down the Fraser River. This River is crucial for the life of our sockeye salmon (and many other species) and cannot be compromised by an environmental mishap.

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 7, 2013 6:24 am

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (iPad; CPU OS 7_0_3 like Mac OS X) AppleWebKit/537.51.1 (KHTML, like Gecko) Version/7.0 Mobile/11B511 Safari/9537.53

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: EIA

Sent: December-07-13 6:33 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: EIA

Name

Email

Subject EIA

Message

If you are not prepared to do a thorough and complete EIA, it is because you know what it would find. You know of the risks and don't want to be faced with the consequences of this project. Somebody's money is at stake and that is more important to you than the health and well-being of the people and the environment.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 7, 2013 6:33 am

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (compatible; MSIE 9.0; Windows NT 6.0; Trident/5.0; BOIE9;ENCA)

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal transport

Sent: December-07-13 8:54 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Coal transport

Name

Email

Subject Coal transport

Message I am strongly against the exporting of US thermal coal down the Fraser. The environmental impact on fisheries and aquatic life and on our health should be of main concern. The Port Authority needs to take the concerns of voters seriously.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 7, 2013 8:54 am

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.1; WOW64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/31.0.1650.63 Safari/537.36

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: No USA Thermal Coal

Sent: December-07-13 9:25 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlt.h.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: No USA Thermal Coal

Name

Email

Subject

No USA Thermal Coal

Message

Seattle has turned down this, why do you think this was? China is building one Thermal Coal plant per week, they do not care one wit about the environment or the damage caused by the CO2 emissions given off by the Coal Thermal plants. The acidic level in our oceans are raising at an alarming rate as a direct result of the CO2 we are emitting in our atmosphere. We have a chance to reverse this, it must be done for the sake of our children. Premier Clark, you have children, can you look them in the eye and say sorry this is so you can get your cheap goods from China, the tradeoff of killing our oceans is worth it.

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 7, 2013 9:24 am

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.1; WOW64; rv:25.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/25.0

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Please reevaluate your EIA

Sent: December-07-13 9:26 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlt.h.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Please reevaluate your EIA

Name

Email

Subject

Please reevaluate your EIA

Message

Dear VFPA Review Committee, As an 18 year old citizen of Vancouver I'm asking you to rethink your project assessment on the basis of best interests of residents around the port. Your current assessment does not have a enough input from residents of Metro Vancouver considering the direct impact the exports from the Port affects us. Coal is highly toxic, especially in the air. While there are extreme environmental impacts from burning coal; one could even dismiss those and solely consider health effects when reviewing projects that increase coal exports through the Port. Please consider your neighbours, us civilians.

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 7, 2013 9:26 am

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10_8_3) AppleWebKit/536.28.10 (KHTML, like Gecko) Version/6.0.3 Safari/536.28.10

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Do What Is Right

Sent: December-07-13 9:37 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Do What Is Right

Name

Email

Subject

Do What Is Right

Message

What is going on right now simply is not right. You must realize that if you pass this proposal, you are doing it at our expense? We are talking about hundreds of thousands of people, not to mention the damage this could cause to our air, land, and water. The Environmental Impact Assessment is inadequate. And although you have the money to run polished marketing campaigns and sophisticated PR pieces, it is not working. We the people want something better, and the current appointed structure of the Port is outdated and dangerous. The EIA is flawed because (but not limited to): 1. It fails to look outside the scope of the immediate area around FSD; What about the transport by rail through White Rock, Surrey, and Delta? What about the transfer from barges and onto ships at Texada Island, as well as the shipment on ocean vessels? 2. It relies on dated studies 3. Contains primarily repackaged existing data that health and air quality experts had already judged to be inadequate in terms of methods of measurement and analysis 4. Stake holders were not part of the scoping process; The public and our medical officers were shut out of this process 5. It pays more attention to wildlife than to human impacts 6. It does not attempt to determine whether the coal to be shipped is contaminated with mercury, lead, arsenic, or other chemicals 7. The issue of Emergency-vehicle access cut-off in areas like Crescent Beach have no clear solution 8. Diesel emission impacts from trains, barges, and vehicles idling at the railway crossing have not been properly assessed. According to the Chief Medical Officers of Vancouver Coastal and Fraser Health, the EIA does not meet "even the most basic requirements of a health impact assessment". These people are here to look out for our best interests. Your mandate is to increase business. It is embarrassingly obvious and clear why a Comprehensive Health Impact Assessment is needed and should be mandatory. There are no laws for Canadians, which guarantee us a right to clean air, land, and water. We are dealing with an outdated system. I ask you to look within and do what you know is right, and to work with the municipalities representing over a million people who are asking for a Comprehensive Health Impact Assessment and full public hearings. Let's use this as a starting point, and then we can go from there.

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Sent from (ip address):

Date/Time:

December 7, 2013 9:37 am

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10_9) AppleWebKit/537.71 (KHTML, like Gecko) Version/7.0 Safari/537.71

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal expansion on the Fraser river and in Metro Vancouver

Sent: December-07-13 9:39 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlt.h.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Coal expansion on the Fraser river and in Metro Vancouver

Name

Email

Subject Coal expansion on the Fraser river and in Metro Vancouver

Message

I live in North Surrey east of the surrey Fraser docks. I am 100% opposed to any type of coal expansion on the Fraser river or anywhere in greater Metro Vancouver. Stop putting greed and profit before the health of our people, communities, and environment. Coal is a dirty fuel and has caused so many problems globally. Weather is be from pollution of our drinking water to air polution and green house gas emmisions. Enough is enough be proactive and listen to the people whoem your greed is going to effect. Furthermore why invest in Coal expansion when China has announced that they are going to spend billions to move away from dirty coal to more sustainable alternative fuel sources. WAKE UP! SAY NO TO COAL!!! Thank you

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 7, 2013 9:38 am

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (iPad; CPU OS 7_0_4 like Mac OS X) AppleWebKit/537.51.1 (KHTML, like Gecko) Version/7.0 Mobile/11B554a Safari/9537.53

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: coal exports

Sent: December-07-13 10:35 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; Xotta, Peter; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: coal exports

Name

Email

Subject coal exports

Message Any assessment must involve Health Authorities and must consider local impacts re transportation and the global impact of climate change regarding end use. Public input is a must.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 7, 2013 10:34 am

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.1; WOW64; rv:25.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/25.0

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Export EIA

Sent: December-07-13 10:37 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; Xotta, Peter; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Coal Export EIA

Name

Email

Subject Coal Export EIA

Message We don't need it and don't want it. A new plan is required.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 7, 2013 10:37 am

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10.6; rv:25.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/25.0

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: coal dust at Fraser Surrey docks

Sent: December-07-13 11:36 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; Xotta, Peter; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlt.h.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: coal dust at Fraser Surrey docks

Name

Email

Subject

coal dust at Fraser Surrey docks

Message

I have had cancer twice and don't need more pollution in the air from coal trains coming through our area. Is Canada really going to ruin our rivers, surrounding lands and health by accomodating coal from the USA when they are non accepting of our oil pipe lines because we MIGHT have a leak on their land. Get a backbone Canada say no to coal. Why aren't they concerned about our enviroment. INDEPENDENT assessment study is a minimum requirement for us to do before proceeding.

Washington must have had good reason for turning down the coal trains. Why are we so willing to accomodate them? Jeanie Cody

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 7, 2013 11:35 am

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (Linux; Android 4.1.1; GT-P5113 Build/JRO03C) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/31.0.1650.59 Safari/537.36

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal export expansion at Neptune Terminal

Sent: December-07-13 11:37 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; Xotta, Peter; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlt.h.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Coal export expansion at Neptune Terminal

Name
Email
Subject Coal export expansion at Neptune Terminal
Message Above all, we cannot risk the serious public health hazards associated with coal handling. It is immoral to export this highly polluting fuel. With added health costs, there will be no net benefit.
Site <http://realporthearings.org>
Date/Time: December 7, 2013 11:37 am
Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126
Using (user agent): Mozilla/4.0 (compatible; MSIE 8.0; Windows NT 5.1; Trident/4.0; .NET CLR 3.0.4506.2152; .NET CLR 2.0.50727; .NET4.0C; .NET CLR 3.5.30729)

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Terminal

Sent: December-07-13 11:43 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; Xotta, Peter; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Coal Terminal

Name
Email
Subject Coal Terminal

Message
Coal dust is directly responsible for black lung, which has killed many thousands of coal miners and other coal workers. It has also injured and impaired the health of workers and civilians living near coal processing facilities. This is particularly dangerous for infant, children and seniors. Our BC Care will pay the costs of these health problems down the road. This US thermal coal is the most dangerous and we will be paying for it for decades to come.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 7, 2013 11:43 am

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10_9) AppleWebKit/537.71 (KHTML, like Gecko) Version/7.0 Safari/537.71

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Port Expansion

Sent: December-07-13 11:55 AM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; Xotta, Peter; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Coal Port Expansion

Name

Email

Subject

Coal Port Expansion

Message

I am opposed to the coal port expansion. I also call on the Port Authority to put a moratorium on coal export expansion and commit to working with key stakeholders to conduct proper health and environmental impact assessments, as were done in Washington State. The risks to our health, including future generations, wildlife, our rivers and oceans, and climate are too drastic to continue with the Port expansion as it stands today. If the port cannot or will not properly assess the potential impacts of coal exports, it should deny the Fraser Surrey Docks permission, and suspend any further expansion of coal exports.

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 7, 2013 11:54 am

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (compatible; MSIE 10.0; Windows NT 6.2; WOW64; Trident/6.0)

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Export

Sent: December-07-13 12:07 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; Xotta, Peter; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlt.h.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Coal Export

Name

Email

Subject Coal Export

Message

Dear Review Committee, Please help us build a port for the future. Instead of evening considering coal, the ports should be envisioning a world not based on fossil fuels and where China is not shipping us all our goods. What kinds of facilities would be needed for these low fossil fuel ships of the future and what kinds of goods would be shipped. I could go into all kinds of detailed reasons for not doing this but I am sure you already know those. The only two words needed are: CLIMATE CHANGE. Say no to the expansion of coal export through these ports (or anywhere).

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 7, 2013 12:07 pm

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10_7_5) AppleWebKit/537.71 (KHTML, like Gecko) Version/6.1 Safari/537.71

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Sent: December-07-13 12:32 PM

To: FSD-EIA

Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;
MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca

Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

To Whom it May Concern,

It is important to me that the Port of Metro Vancouver includes input from health officers.
Any impact assessment should at least meet the most basic requirements of a Health Impact Assessment.

But right now the EIA does not assess impacts of coal transport on White Rock, Surrey, Delta, Texada Island and the waters and islands along the coastal shipping route.

If the port authority won't start over and provide a proper assessment, it should deny the Fraser Surrey Docks permit and stop expanding coal exports.

Sincerely,
Kelowna

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Sent: December-07-13 12:48 PM

To: FSD-EIA

Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca;
MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca

Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Please delay any decisions until additional environment info can be gathered.

I do not want to have coal used for energy anywhere, never mind being transported through this city.

Coal and Oil belong in the ground. They are there for a reason.

Sincerely,

Vancouver

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Your narrow perspectives

Sent: December-07-13 1:12 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; Xotta, Peter; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlt.h.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Your narrow perspectives

Name

Email

Subject Your narrow perspectives

Message

I have been a journalist for some 40 years, and have worked in over 120 countries. Your perspectives on expanding facilities for coal exports are as narrow, shortsighted and linear as any Taliban I've met. When your children ask "what did you do about climate change?" Are you going to tell them that it wasn't "your" job. You should all be ashamed of yourselves.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 7, 2013 1:11 pm

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (Macintosh; Intel Mac OS X 10_6_8) AppleWebKit/534.59.10 (KHTML, like Gecko) Version/5.1.9 Safari/534.57.2

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: coal shipment for export

Sent: December-07-13 1:22 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; Xotta, Peter; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlt.h.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: coal shipment for export

Name

Email

Subject coal shipment for export

Message

I do wonder how there can be such a difference in the research results regarding the effects of coal shipments on the populace of the environments in WA and Metro Vancouver. I am aware that Canadians tend to denigrate many US laws but we cannot argue with more in-depth research carried out relative to shipments of coal to Cherry Point, WA. I certainly hope that the Port Authority does not go forward with this planned policy.

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 7, 2013 1:22 pm

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 5.1; rv:25.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/25.0

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: stop coal export

Sent: December-07-13 1:30 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; Xotta, Peter; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlt.h.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: stop coal export

Name

Email

Subject

stop coal export

Message

I think that the Port Authority's assessment of the risks of coal export expansion is fundamentally flawed and should be scrapped. They need to start over. I call on the Port to put a moratorium on coal export expansion and commit to working with key stakeholders to conduct proper health and environmental impact assessments. In our view, these new assessments must include the following features: they must involve key stakeholders like our Health Authorities from the start, including determining the scope and terms of reference; they must include an assessment of the risks of the proposed coal export expansion at Neptune Terminals; they must consider all local impacts from the time the coal enters our region by rail to the time it enters international waters by ocean going vessel; they must consider climate change impacts of the end use of that coal; they must be open and transparent and incorporate public hearings. If the port cannot or will not properly assess the potential impacts of coal exports, it should deny the Fraser Surrey Docks permit and suspend any further expansion of coal exports. Thank you

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 7, 2013 1:29 pm

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.1; WOW64) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/31.0.1650.63 Safari/537.36

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: EIA for Coal transport

Sent: December-07-13 1:37 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; Xotta, Peter; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlt.h.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: EIA for Coal transport

Name

Email

Subject EIA for Coal transport

Message

The coal transport issue is a serious matter that can significantly the health of residents in the Lower Mainland and abroad. Not only does the coal dust and diesel pollution directly affect people living nearby, local salmon spawning grounds and agriculture, using thermal coal also adds to the greenhouse problem when coal is burned on the other side of the world. We should be supporting clean energy use not thermal coal. Also the EIA that was performed is not adequate. We need a complete HIA. Sincerely,
Christina Shyong Delta, BC

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 7, 2013 1:36 pm

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.3; WOW64; Trident/7.0; rv:11.0) like Gecko

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal exports

Sent: December-07-13 4:30 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; Xotta, Peter; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Coal exports

Name
Email
Subject Coal exports

Message I write because: 1) There is no justification for basing Canada's and BC's economic development on what is basically a primitive 19th Century technology the use of which threatens future generations possibly this one), particularly when we could be contributing to the development of alternatives. 2) To remain silent ignores Canada's contribution to climate change to date and constitutes silent defence of economic policies that will likely permanently disrupt natural systems, injure or kill millions of people, and undermine prospects for global civilization.

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 7, 2013 4:29 pm

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.1) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko) Chrome/31.0.1650.63 Safari/537.36

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Deep Concern about US Thermal Coal Transport

Sent: December-07-13 6:36 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; Xotta, Peter; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hlth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Deep Concern about US Thermal Coal Transport

Name

Email

Subject

Deep Concern about US Thermal Coal Transport

Message

As a new resident of North Delta, mother of a second grader at Gray Elementary and pastor recently called to Trinity Lutheran Church on River Road, I am invested in the future of Delta neighborhoods. And I am deeply concerned about the health and environmental impact of the proposed coal transfer facility in Fraser Surrey Docks. With the political campaign underway when we arrived in April 2013, I read in the local paper that the municipality of Delta is home to the highest percentage of kids under the age of 18 in the province of British Columbia. And I've since learned that Delta borders fragile ecosystems: the Fraser River that sustains some of the last wild salmon habitat in the world and the Burns Bog, recognized as a Ramsar wetland of international significance in 2012 along with Sturgeon Bank, South Arm Marshes, Boundary Bay and Serpentine. These wetlands are key in preserving biological diversity, of which only 10% remain in the Lower Mainland. In the recent environmental assessment study, will the present and future costs of establishing Fraser Surrey Docks as the next coal transport facility, increasing the shipment of coal from 4 to 8 million metric tons be considered? The environmental assessment does not include impartial and comprehensive data on the health and environmental impacts of this proposed facility. Will our children and ecosystems be able to sustain the impact? At what cost? Delta is home to international ports, the highest percentage of young people under the age of 18, and fragile ecosystems: how can we best thrive together? Our neighbors in Washington, California and Oregon are protesting the proposed transfer facility. Are B.C. residents informed about the environmental and health impact of the proposal? The increased train traffic would roll through four of the Ramsar wetland sites, decrease the sustainability of these ecosystems and adjacent neighborhoods. The Honorable Mayor and Council of Delta indicate on their home page that as elected officials they are "dedicated to preserving and protecting the quality of life" here in Delta and "to working collaboratively with residents and businesses" to achieve that end. I am deeply concerned that residents have not been brought to the table for honest dialog regarding the impact of coal traffic through our neighborhoods and transferred at Fraser Surrey Docks. At this point, I do not see the sustainability of our neighborhoods and ecosystems being considered along with the financial benefits of this proposal. Respectfully,

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 7, 2013 6:35 pm
Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126
Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 6.0) AppleWebKit/537.36 (KHTML, like Gecko)
Chrome/31.0.1650.63 Safari/537.36

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From: webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org [<mailto:webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org>] On Behalf Of

Sent: December-07-13 6:50 PM

To: FSD-EIA

Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Tim Blair, Senior Planner
Port Metro Vancouver
100 The Pointe, 999 Canada Place
Vancouver, BC V6C 3T4

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

I am seriously concerned about decisions to be made on allowing a new coal terminal in Surrey. Please consider the following:

- I deserve a full independent hearing on the proposed Fraser Surrey Docks coal facility, as has been afforded to citizens of Washington State by regulators assessing coal terminals this year.
- I deserve a comprehensive health impact assessment of the proposed Fraser Surrey Docks coal facility, as publicly recommended by Health Authority officials.
- I am concerned about the climate impacts currently being experienced in Canada and throughout the world, which are exacerbated by the export and consumption of fossil fuels.
- I urge you to deny Fraser Surrey Docks a permit for its proposed coal facility, and to start taking responsibility for the climate damage that will result from the growing export of fossil fuels from Metro Vancouver ports.

Please reject the application for a permit. We do not need more carbon pollution and we do not need to ruin the health of residents in beautiful B.C. To allow a new coal terminal is just wrong!

Sincerely
Gibsons, BC

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at salishseaaction.org

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

-----Original Message-----

From: webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org [<mailto:webmaster@wildernesscommittee.org>] On Behalf Of,
Sent: December-07-13 7:52 PM
To: FSD-EIA
Subject: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Direct Transfer Coal Facility

Tim Blair, Senior Planner
Port Metro Vancouver
100 The Pointe, 999 Canada Place
Vancouver, BC V6C 3T4

CC: Fed. Environment Minister Leona Aglukkaq, Fed. Transport Minister Lisa Raitt, BC Environment Minister Mary Polak, BC Health Minister Terry Lake

RE: Comments on the Proposed Fraser Surrey Docks Transfer Coal Facility

Sir,

I am writing to express my deep concern that my governments are considering expanding the Fraser Surrey Docks in order to provide a port for the dirty US coal that the Washington state ports have refused to allow through their own ports for health and climate reasons. I am concerned about the climate impacts currently being experienced in Canada and throughout the world, which are exacerbated by the export and consumption of fossil fuels. Exporting North American fossil fuels to the orient only exasperates this problem.

I deserve a comprehensive health impact assessment of the proposed Fraser Surrey Docks coal facility, as publicly recommended by Health Authority officials. Such an assessment could hardly fail to mirror the results already found in Washington state: this is to great a hazard to our health.

I urge you to deny Fraser Surrey Docks a permit for its proposed coal facility, and to start taking responsibility for the climate damage that will result from the growing export of fossil fuels from Metro Vancouver ports.

Sincerely
Burnaby, BC

This letter was created with the use of an online letter writing tool which you can find at salishseaaction.org

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Movements

Sent: December-07-13 8:48 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; Xotta, Peter; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Coal Movements

Name

Email

Subject Coal Movements

Message The impression of the public at large is that the Port Authority is not interested in giving any weight to any objections that public has to a major increase in the quantity of coal shipped through the Lower Mainland. Your actions smack of Big Brother. Not acceptable !!!!

Site <http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time: December 7, 2013 8:47 pm

Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (compatible; MSIE 10.0; Windows NT 6.2; WOW64; Trident/6.0; ASU2JS)

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: Coal Exports

Sent: December-07-13 9:33 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; Xotta, Peter; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: Coal Exports

Name
Email
Subject Coal Exports
Message There must be public input on the concerns over plans to export thermal coal from USA through our region..so very important to human health, the local environment and issues around climate change.
Site <http://realporthearings.org>
Sent from (ip address):
Date/Time: December 7, 2013 9:33 pm
Sent from (referer): http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126
Using (user agent): Mozilla/5.0 (Linux; U; Android 4.1.1; en-ca; GT-P5113 Build/JRO03C) AppleWebKit/534.30 (KHTML, like Gecko) Version/4.0 Safari/534.30

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Sent: December-07-13 9:40 PM

To: FSD-EIA

Cc: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; premier@gov.bc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca

Subject: My comment on the environmental impact assessment for Fraser Surrey Docks

Two years ago, I began bird-watching. I was in Mexico, and noticed some of the very showy birds that live there, like Vermilion Flycatchers. As I started paying attention to those brilliant birds, I started noticing more and more other birds around me. By the time I returned to Vancouver, I was hooked. And I discovered that the world around me had changed. For the first time I saw even really common birds like spotted towhees. And started to be able to distinguish the huge variety of ducks and water birds that live in the lower Mainland, like mergansers, gadwalls, golden-eyes, and widgeons (to name just a very very few).

Why am I telling you this? Because Vancouver is critical habitat for thousands upon thousands of bird species. And while developing any one spot won't put those species at risk, we are not just developing one spot on the waterfront. Piece by piece, year by year, we are developing all of it. On that basis alone, I'd say that the environmental impact of port expansions to date has been grossly inadequate. But that's not all. You are talking about exporting coal. And the incremental day by day impacts of burning this dirtiest of fuels on the climate, and our health is becoming more and more apparent as our normal weather patterns vanish.

Our health is inseparable from the health of our environment, and the health of our planet. We need a real health assessment of the impact of increasing coal exports. Deny the Fraser Surrey Docks permit.

Sincerely,
Vancouver

FSD-EIA

From: FSD-EIA
Subject: FW: NO THERMAL COAL EXPORTS FROM BC

Sent: December-07-13 11:48 PM

To: Crandles, James; Desjardin, Darrell; Yung, Willy; Englezos, Evangeline; FSD-EIA; Xotta, Peter; premier@gov.bc.ca; ENV.Minister@gov.bc.ca; MEM.Minister@gov.bc.ca; hth.minister@gov.bc.ca; Minister_Ministre@hc-sc.gc.ca; Minister@ec.gc.ca; mintc@tc.gc.ca; info@realporthearings.org

Subject: NO THERMAL COAL EXPORTS FROM BC

Name

Email

Subject

NO THERMAL COAL EXPORTS FROM BC

Message

Ladies & Gentlemen We are writing to speak out against the Fraser/Surrey Docks proposal to export US thermal coal through the lower mainland of British Columbia. Thermal coal is a highly polluting health hazard to all of us and is a significant factor contributing to global warming as well as acid rain. No US port will allow this product to be loaded on the west coast so why would Canada allow it ? Are we a third world country ? I think not. In any case, it is time to say no to the handling of this product via unit trains, loading facilities, barges and storage piles in British Columbia. A dozen or so jobs is not a good trade off for the health and well being of our citizens and global climate change. We urge you to terminate this project in the public interest.
Sincerely - Delta BC

Site

<http://realporthearings.org>

Date/Time:

December 7, 2013 11:47 pm

Sent from (referer):

http://realporthearings.org/?page_id=126

Using (user agent):

Mozilla/5.0 (Windows NT 5.1; rv:25.0) Gecko/20100101 Firefox/25.0